

STATE OF WASHINGTON

Workforce Training and Education Coordinating Board

128 - 10th Avenue, S.W. • P.O. Box 43105 • Olympia, WA 98504-3105

Phone: (360) 753-5662 • Fax: (360) 586-5862 • Web: www.wtb.wa.gov • Email: wtecb@wtb.wa.gov

December 29, 2005

Sharon Miller, Director
State Administration and Accountability Group
Office of Vocational and Adult Education
U.S. Department of Education
400 Maryland Avenue S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20202

Dear Ms. Miller:

Enclosed please find Washington State's Consolidated Annual Report (CAR) for Performance, Accountability, and Financial Status for Program Year 2005. The electronic version was submitted on-line today as well.

If you have any questions, please call me at (360) 753-5676.

Sincerely,

Walter Wong

Program Administrator

alon al

Enclosure

C: Ellen O'Brien Saunders Terri Colbert Rod Duckworth Tiffany Merkel Rinke

COVER SHEET

STATE: WA

YEAR: 2004-2005

CONSOLIDATED ANNUAL PERFORMANCE, ACCOUNTABILITY, AND FINANCIAL: STATUS REPORT FOR STATE-ADMINISTERED VOCATIONAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS

Carl D. Perkins Vocational and Technical Education Act of 1998 (Perkins III)

OMB NO: 1830-0503

RECIPIENT ORGANIZAT	ION		
Organization:	Workforce Training and Education (Coordinating Board	
Address 1:	PO 43105	•	
Address 2:	повина принципина в на контина в на напримента в на напримента на напримента на напримента на напримента на на		
City:	Olympia	implication National American States and the Control of the Contro	
State:	WA THE STATE OF TH		19 30 2 30 2 37
Zip Code: PR/AWARD NUMBERS:	98504-3105		
Basic Grant to States:	EV048A040047		
Tech-Prep Education:	EV243A040047	The leading of the control of the co	
3. RECIPIENT IDENTIFY	TING NUMBER:	•	
4. PERIOD COVERED BY	THIS REPORT (mm/dd/yy):		
From:	2004-07-01 00:00:00		
To	2005-09-30 00:00:00		
compliance with governing le			
and 15-month reports, coveri	ns of this report are for 7/1/04 thr ng 7/1/04 through 6/30/05 and 9/	30/05, respectively.	rsk reports are 12-monur
and Narrative Performance R purposes set forth	ify to the best of my knowledge a Report, is correct and complete an	d that all outlays and unliqui	dated obligations are for the
(Please go to the CAR web site to	IZED CERTIFYING OFFICIAL certify by PIN electronically after	2005-12-29 12:27:04	литер
TYPED OR PRINTED NAM Walt Wong, Administrator	ME AND TITLE:	TELEPHONE (Includi 360-753-5676	ng Area Code)

2004-05 Perkins Consolidated Annual Report (CAR) Washington State

Workforce Training and Education Coordinating Board
Office of the Superintendent for Public Instruction
State Board for Community and Technical Colleges

December 2005

I. State Administration [Section 121]

A. Sole State Agency and Governance Structure

Offer a brief summary of how your state is organized to administer vocational and technical education under Perkins III. Attach an organizational chart of the key agencies involved and offer a brief summary of the roles and responsibilities of each. (See appendices for organizational charts for secondary, postsecondary, and the Workforce Training and Education Coordinating Board, the eligible state agency.)

Workforce Training Board - The Workforce Training and Education Coordinating Board (WTECB) is the Eligible Agency for the receipt of Perkins funds for Washington State. One of the statutorily defined responsibilities of the WTECB is to function as the State Board for Vocational Education. Board membership includes representatives from business, labor, targeted populations, Superintendent of Public Instruction, Executive Director of the State Board for Community and Technical Colleges, Commissioner of Employment Security, plus participating officials from local government, and the Secretary of the State Department of Social and Health Services. The Chair of the Board represents the Governor of Washington State.

The Workforce Training and Education Coordinating Board delegates, through Interagency Agreements and contracts, the day-to-day management of the Perkins funds primarily to two major delivery systems in the state, the Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction (OSPI) for secondary career-technical education and the State Board for Community and Technical Colleges (SBCTC) for postsecondary workforce education. WTECB maintains oversight responsibility of the state's grant, including: compliance with certification, assurances, statutory and regulatory requirements; program and fiscal reporting and accountability; planning and plan development and submittal; monitoring and activity evaluation; coordination and consultation activities; data collection; policy development; methods of administration for the Office of Civil Rights; and distribution and approval of funds.

Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction (OSPI) - OSPI received its allocation for secondary CTE programs/activities based on a formula determined by the Workforce Training and Education Coordinating Board of which OSPI is a member. Basic Grant allocations distributed to local school districts were determined using the "census-based" formula.

A variety of fiscal controls were in place to assure effective and appropriate management of Perkins funds – within OSPI and within local schools. OSPI also worked regularly with WTECB staff to maintain accounting and reporting requirements.

State Board for Community and Technical Colleges - Perkins general administration includes: collection, compilation, and reporting of data to meet federal and state reporting requirements; technical assistance; accounting; administrative and on-site monitoring and evaluations; certification and financial auditing. The Workforce Education Department of the State Board for Community and Technical Colleges serves the technical programs of Washington's 34 community and technical colleges. Funding to the institutions is formula-based, with the 10 percent reserve used to provide additional funding to those colleges considered rural and those with either a large number of career technical students or a large percent of career technical students.

Some of the State Board major focuses include:

- Building partnerships working closely with the Association of Washington Business to link colleges' training programs and businesses through a joint website, <u>WorkforceCollege.com</u>;
- Economic Development proving resources and incentives to colleges to work with key strategic industries to build strong regional economies;

- Integrated Programs for Low-Income Students working in conjunction with the SBCTC's Office of Adult Literacy, the Workforce Education department is focused on serving low-income students more effectively;
- Program approval working with the colleges' workforce education directors, incentive funding is available for start-up of new programs in high-demand fields. The department also provides training to members of the Workforce Education Council in analyzing program viability;
- Provision of technical and funding assistance WorkFirst (low-income students); Worker
 Retraining (unemployed workers seeking new skills); Perkins (stimulate innovative instruction);
 Tech Prep (linkage between secondary and postsecondary); Workforce Development (projectsbased grants, high demand grants, and Centers of Excellence grants); Apprenticeship (maintain
 and expand apprenticeship programs); Job Skills (grants for customized training for businesses);
 and Center of Excellence (points of contact and resource hubs for industry trends).

B. Organization of Vocational and Technical Education Programs

Provide information about how vocational and technical programs are organized and offered in your state. Indicate whether, and to what extent, your state has organized its programs around career clusters or pathways that combine rigorous academic and technical courses and offer a clear pathway into a postsecondary program leading to a technical certificate, associate or baccalaureate degree, apprenticeship, or a job.

Secondary Education Organization - The Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction (OSPI) administered secondary career and technical education (CTE) programs in Washington State. CTE programs were offered in 238 school districts and 10 vocational skills centers. All secondary CTE programs/courses were organized into four Pathway areas: Business and Marketing, Technology and Industry, Health and Human Services, and Agriculture/Science. A total of more than 220 courses/programs were represented within these four pathway areas. All CTE programs were approved by OSPI and taught by a certificated CTE instructor.

Postsecondary - Tech Prep provides occupational pathways for students by preparing them for technologically advanced careers and postsecondary education. Washington's 34 community and technical colleges offer a wide variety of professional-technical training programs. In recent years, the community and technical college system has developed eleven Centers of Excellence each housed at a college that has demonstrated state-wide leadership for training in a particular industry.

Centers of Excellence are flagship institutions that build and sustain Washington's competitive advantage through statewide leadership. Each Center focuses on a targeted industry that drives the state's economy and is built upon a reputation for fast, flexible, quality education and training programs. A targeted industry is identified as one that is strategic to the economic growth of a region or state.

Centers are guided by industry representatives to lead collaborative and coordinated statewide education and training efforts to build a competitive workforce in a global economy. Centers will:

- Maintain an institutional reputation for innovation and responsive education and training delivery to their targeted industry.
- Act as a broker of information and resources related to their targeted industry for industry representatives, community-based organizations, economic development organizations, community and technical colleges, secondary education institutions, and four-year colleges and universities.
- Translate industry research into best practices.
- Provide system coordination, coaching, and mentoring to assist in building seamless educational and work- related systems.
- Build a competitive workforce for driver industries in Washington State.

Centers to date include:

- Bellevue Community College Center for Information Technology Excellence
- Bellingham Technical College Center of Excellence for Process Technology
- Centralia College Center of Excellence for Energy Production and Distribution Technology
- Edmonds and Everett Community Colleges jointly host the Materials and Process Development Center of Excellence.
- Green River Community College The Center of Excellence for Careers in Education
- Pierce College Center of Excellence in Homeland Security
- Renton Technical College Construction Center of Excellence
- Shoreline Community College Center for Manufacturing Excellence
- Skagit Valley College Northwest Center of Excellence for Marine Manufacturing and Technology
- Walla Walla Community College Agricultural Center of Excellence
- Yakima Valley Community College Allied Health Center of Excellence

II State Leadership Activities [Section 124]

A. Required Uses of Funds

Provide a summary of your major initiatives and activities in each of the following areas that are "required" under Section 124(b)(1-8) of the Act:

An assessment of the vocational and technical education programs that are funded.

Secondary - School districts offering CTE programs received their Perkins entitlements by making application through iGrants, an electronic application system designed by OSPI staff. The electronic application requires the district to provide a description of what the district is doing in all of the "required" and "permissive" use areas. It also describes the use of Perkins funds in these areas according to state determined local plan requirements as described in Section 135, includes a signed assurance statement covering state and federal program activity, and a completed budget form.

Postsecondary - Nine (9) grants were awarded to colleges that adapted Best Practices designed to identify and develop assessment projects. Five were an innovative approach, and four were for replications of projects approved as best practices for the system.

Developing, improving, or expanding the use of technology in vocational and technical education

Secondary - CTE standards for the state were completed in the spring of 2005. These standards were based on industry standards and will provide the foundation for a quality CTE program. Based on these standards, work continues on the development of model course/program frameworks which emphasize the use of technology. The technology addressed in the model framework is based on the appropriate business or industry application.

Postsecondary - In 2004-05, six (6) projects were awarded funds for adaptation or duplication of Best Practices designed to develop, improve, or expand the use of distance education in vocational technical programs. Five of those grants were for replication of best practices approved for replication by the system and one was for innovative approaches toward meeting the needs of the entire system.

Ten (10) colleges applied for and were awarded funds for adaptation or duplication of Best Practices designed to develop, improve, or expand the use of technology in vocational technical programs. Half of those grants were for replication of best practices approved for replication by the system and half were for innovative approaches toward meeting the needs of emerging technology.

Professional development programs, including providing comprehensive professional development (including initial teacher preparation) for vocational and technical, academic, guidance, and administrative personnel

Secondary - Professional development for voc/academic instructors was delivered chiefly through two methods: a) workshops and conferences and b) local and regional technical assistance from pathway supervisors and other OSPI CTE staff and administrators. In delivering the workshops, OSPI partnered with the state's career and technical education organizations to host and staff annual and biannual conferences. OSPI staff, together with selected educators and partners, presented information on emerging, promising, and proven practices that improve the quality of technical education. Much of the focus of this information continued to be on integrating CTE with Washington's education reform initiative to ensure that technical courses were viable options for all students, and that they were adding value to the student's academic performance.

Workshop activities increasingly focused on the development, integration, and implementation of new program standards based on industry-defined skill standards. The CTE pathway supervisors also worked directly with CTE instructors to ensure the quality of local programs.

Postsecondary - Industry-based Professional Development grants were used to enable vocational faculty to engage in industry-based professional development activities to stay current with changes in industry and the workplace, including new technologies, skill requirements, training, and career development opportunities. (In 2004-05, 84 grants were awarded.)

Leadership funds were further used to support professional development through a statewide Support Staff Training, a Parent Educators Conference, professional-technical faculty skills standards and Workforce Deans' training.

Support for vocational and technical education programs that improve the academic, and vocational and technical skills of students...through the integration of academics with vocational and technical education

Secondary - Based on the recently completed state CTE Program Standards, work continues on the development of model course/program frameworks which include industry-defined skill standards with a strong connection and emphasis to the state's academic standards. (*Essential Academic Learning Requirements-EALR*). The curriculum frameworks also include the technical content for each course along with industry-defined content for leadership and employability skills.

Curriculum frameworks were developed that integrate the EALRs; mastery of which is required for all students, and lead to the skills required by industry. More recently, the curriculum frameworks are being revised to include the Grade Level Expectations that will define the specific academic content to be included at a particular grade level in each CTE course. Work is currently underway on model frameworks which will provide school districts with a model that can be used for cross crediting of core academic courses.

Postsecondary - Thirteen (13) colleges applied for and were awarded funds for adaptation or duplication of Best Practices designed to support vocational technical education programs that integrate and strengthen academics and vocational components through curriculum development. Eleven (11) colleges applied for and were awarded funds for adaptation or duplication of Best Practices designed to support competency-based education programs that integrate and strengthen real-world vocational components and industry skill standards. Five (5) colleges were awarded funds for adaptation or duplication of Best Practices designed to integrate and strengthen basic educational skills.

Providing preparation for nontraditional training and employment

Secondary - In 2004-05, OSPI required all districts to dedicate at least two percent of their basic grant allocation to support nontraditional training and employment. The following statewide activities were also conducted:

- The "Expanding Your Horizons" (EYH) project assisted districts in identifying additional resources to support programs which encourage students to enter nontraditional courses of study.
- Four Skills Center summer school technology programs were funded for nontraditional students.
- Tech Guides were funded to assist school districts in identifying additional resources to assist in their nontraditional work.

Postsecondary – During 2004-05 the State Board released non-traditional funds to the colleges on a RFP basis, using replication of Best Practices. Four Best Practices were selected – all targeting middle and high school students, introducing them to the career options available for nontraditional high wage occupations.

An innovative college project produced a career information and recruitment tool for men in nursing. Male nurses and nursing students share experiences and career choices in a video. The video was shown on a public access channel reaching over 200,000 homes and distributed to high schools health associations, hospitals and others with a stake in increasing the number of males in nursing. Ten (10) projects were funded replicating one or more of the Best Practices targeting non-traditional enrollment and employment opportunities. The four projects selected for replication are outline below.

- Project: Connections
 - The Connections workshop is a day-long event focused towards encouraging young women to pursue non-traditional careers. Students participate in three hands-on sessions (organized by pathways), experiencing applications of skills required in non-traditional occupations such as: automotive technology, firefighting, web design, film directing, forensic science, and culinary arts. Student participants receive a "Participation Passport" to document their career exploration and can add it to their high school portfolio.
- Project: Gifted Individuals Realizing Leadership Skills (G.I.R.L.S.)

 This is a four-day camp for non-traditional career exploration. During two or the days participants meet with local and regional professionals to explore non-traditional career paths and interests fields like science, information technology, law enforcement, operating engineers, surveying, and GIS. They participate in career exploration using WOIS and CHOICE, matching their abilities, talents, traits, and education to careers. Other activities include reflection/journal writing, Holland's personality test, self-awareness, learning styles, multiple intelligence, and the challenge course.
- Project: The Road Less Graveled
 This day-long conference showcases local women employed in non-traditional careers. The
 workshop highlights careers in the trades as a means toward economic stability for women.
 Information and resources for employment, funding, training, and scholarship opportunities are
 included in this day of demonstrations and hands-on activities.
- Project: Try-a-Trade/Try-a-Technology
 This is a one-day opportunity for high school students to learn about trades, technology, and non-traditional careers on the college campus. Students participate in hands-on activities, meet college instructors, explore trade and technology related programs, and learn about career opportunities in a variety of fields.

In addition to the ten (10) non-traditional Best Practices replications, Leadership funds were further used to support a College Women's Program workshop focusing on providing information on four hands-on non-traditional career tracks.

Supporting partnerships to enable students to achieve State academic standards, and vocational and technical skills

Secondary - OSPI actively supported close connections between career and technical education programs and the state's local and regional industry representatives. OSPI continued to emphasize a different role for local advisory committees. Their primary function is to assist schools in the development of workbased learning opportunities, career awareness and exploration activities, and other local implementation issues, and assist/advise the district in how to provide programs that meet the industry standards.

OSPI continued to work with the Association of Washington Business and with representatives from the Washington State Labor Council. OSPI's Special Assistant for Industry Partnerships to the State Superintendent of Public Instruction continued to develop, strengthen, and expand partnerships at the state, regional, and local levels between schools/education agencies, business, and labor organizations.

Postsecondary - Eleven (11) colleges applied for and were awarded funds for adaptation or duplication of Best Practices designed to support partnerships to improve vocational technical education programs.

Serving individuals in state institutions

Washington State sets aside 1% of the 10% leadership funds to serve individuals in state institutions. Employment Security/Offender Employment Services (OES) administers this particular fund and focuses on system change activities, targeting Institutionalized Services that will blend correctional issues and disability issues; projects that support high skills, high wage careers; and academic and professional development.

During fiscal year 2004-2005 OES funded six projects by initiating a Request for Proposal (RFP) to solicit proposals from individuals or organizations interested in providing vocational and educational services to offenders and disabled in state institutions.

The following list of projects which were designed to improve vocational education services for offender or "at risk" clients with learning challenges and learning barriers received funding during FY 2004.

Partners In Careers - delivered vocational on-the-job training, supplemental vocational education classes, and vocational support services to facilitate the transition of state and local offenders into employment. These services enhanced the programs at the Community Justice Center, Larch Corrections Center, Jail Work Center, Work Release, and the applicant organization's Employment Center by providing resources to assist state and local offenders whose vocational education needs leading to employment.

Pierce County Jail - helped pilot a vocational and on-the-job training program to provide participating inmates with the opportunity to learn responsibility, good work habits, and skills that would be used to find employment after their release from incarceration.

Thurston County Sheriff - this project enhanced the current GED program delivered at the jail, providing greater opportunity for jail students in the main facility and those transferred to alternative jail programs the ability to complete the GED. The new project also provided a computer-training element, which developed computer proficiency, a cognitive/behavioral program to build self-esteem and develop positive goals, a pre-employment skill building/transition program, and education and career

development. This program was also mirrored in the community and provided program continuation for the jail program.

Edmonds Community College at Monroe Correctional Center - supported the vocational education program for 135 students, by upgrading the GED preparation software and integrating core academic and vocational curriculum in the GED computer lab.

South Seattle Community College - enhanced the existing Life-Skills-to-Work program by providing tuition assistance for those moving into vocational training programs. This opportunity will create access to vocational programs and bridge opportunities for offenders who complete the Life-Skills-to-Work program.

Morningside - this agency served individuals with developmental disabilities who were placed in a voluntary Community Protection Program where they were provided with 24-hour line of sight supervision and treatment by a certified sex-offender therapist. This project provided curriculum materials for vocational exploration, work readiness and job search classes, plus 12 week paid work experience for participants with employers leading to paid employment.

Support for programs for special populations that lead to high skill, high wage careers

Postsecondary- Colleges applied for and were awarded funds for adaptation or duplication of Best Practices designed to specifically support programs for special populations that lead to high skill, high wage careers. Technology integration and on-line course offerings provided extended access to high wage career education, while modularized curricula provided increased access for career advancement and learning opportunities through short-term specialized training. Seven of the projects applied for were directed toward serving economically disadvantaged. Eleven projects targeted workforce training that incorporated basic skills instruction.

B. Permissible activities [Section 124]

Provide a brief summary of major initiatives and activities under one or more of the following areas under Section 124(c)(1-12) of the Act.

Secondary - Support of CTSOs

OSPI pathway supervisors served as the state advisors to the CTSOs funded in part with Perkins funds including FFA, DECA, VICA, FBLA, TSA and FCCLA. The pathway supervisors and other staff of the unit actively participated in the state conferences and many of the national conferences of these organizations. CTSO activities were closely aligned with the classroom activities of the state's secondary career and technical education programs in most districts. Pathway Supervisors supported the Career and Technical Student Organizations (CTSOs) associated with their pathways. This ensured that the activities of the CTSO also connected with the attainment of industry skills and the education requirements of the state.

Postsecondary - The colleges used funds for the enhancement of professional technical programs through curriculum development and redesign; integration of technology into instruction with Web-based course offerings; development of competency-based curriculum; and provision of internships and work-based learning opportunities. Special populations were served through counseling and advising and integration of ESL/ABE into professional technical course offerings.

Technical assistance for eligible recipients;
 Best Practices grants allowed colleges to provide assistance through programs that were self-paced,
 competency-based, and work-based. Students were able to access classes adapted to their alternative learning schedules. Funds were used to target student retention, specifically focused toward the ESL and ABE student.

- Improvement of career guidance and academic counseling programs that assist students in making informed academic, and vocational and technical education decisions; Eleven (11) colleges used the Best Practices Grants to improve recruitment and retention in professional technical programs.
- Establishment of agreements between secondary and post-secondary vocational and technical education programs in order to provide post-secondary education and training opportunities for students participating in such vocational and technical education programs, such as tech-prep programs;
 There were increased opportunities for collaboration between secondary and post-secondary institutions, enabling students to earn dual credit for competencies gained through instruction in articulated secondary classes for credit in post-secondary programs. In 2004-05, 88,019 college credits were earned by the 14,100 participating Tech Prep students.
- Support for cooperative education;
 An interactive web page for coop/internship students and employers was developed to help students and employers connect for a cooperative work experience and job placement.
- Support for vocational and technical student organizations, especially with respect to efforts to increase the participation of students who are members of special populations; Leadership funds were used to support the following professional-technical student organizations: Skills USA-VICA the vocational student leadership organization; WPAS, the agriculture student leadership organization; PHI BETA LAMBDA, emphasizing business leadership; and DELTA EPSILON CHI, the student leadership organization that emphasizes competency-based activities; two student Radiological Technologist organizations; the Teacher's of Tomorrow organization to provide Education Paraprofessional and Early Childhood Education leadership opportunities; a Student Nurse Association; Psi Beta, the National Psychology Honor Society, that provided leadership opportunities for human services students; and the Culinary Arts Chef's Club.

The Chef's club captured a gold medal in the American Culinary Federation's State Competition for Hot Foods and a bronze medal for the Knowledge Bowl in the Western Regional Competition. The two student Radiological Technologist organizations won the knowledge competition at the Washington State Radiological Technologists Conference.

- Support for vocational and technical education programs that offer experience in, and understanding of, all aspects of an industry for which students are preparing to enter;
 Leadership funds were used to support the vocational student leadership organizations listed in the previous question. These leadership opportunities provided professional development and interactions with industry professionals.
 - Eleven (11) colleges applied for and were awarded funds for adaptation or duplication of Best Practices designed to support competency-based education programs that integrate and strengthen real-world vocational components and industry skill standards.
- Support for education and business partnerships;
 All professional technical programs at the colleges seat an advisory committee comprised of industry employers and employees. Eleven (11) Best Practices grants were used to develop and improve business and industry partnerships with professional technical programs.
- Support to improve or develop new vocational and technical education courses;
 Best Practices grant funds (13 grants), were used to improve and develop vocational technical courses. The colleges used funds for the enhancement of professional technical programs through

curriculum development and redesign; integration of technology into instruction with Web-based course offerings; development of competency-based curriculum; provision of internships and work-based learning opportunities; and modularization of courses to provide short-term training certificate options. Special populations were served through counseling and advising and integration of ESL/ABE into professional technical course offerings. Two particularly innovative projects responding to local and state workforce needs are the curriculum development of a nanotechnology degree and training for hospital support staff during hazardous materials or terrorism events.

- Providing vocational and technical education programs for adults and school dropouts to complete
 their secondary school education;
 Best Practice grant funds were used to strengthen recruitment, admissions, and retention efforts for
 ESL/ABE/GED and high school completion students, teen parents, and returning adult students. One
 college developed a GED fast track program that can be replicated by the system.
- Providing assistance to students, who have participated in services and activities under this title, in
 finding an appropriate job and continuing their education;
 An interactive web page for coop/internship students and employers was developed to help students
 and employers connect for a cooperative work experience and job placement.

III Distribution of Funds and Local Plan for Vocational and Technical Education Programs [Sections 131 and 134]

A. Provide a summary of the state's eligible recipients, listing the number of secondary local eligible agencies, area vocational and technical education agencies, postsecondary agencies, and consortia.

Secondary - The Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction (OSPI) administered secondary career and technical education (CTE) programs in Washington State. CTE programs were offered in 238 school districts and 10 vocational skills centers.

Postsecondary - The Workforce Education Department of the State Board for Community and Technical Colleges distributes funds to Washington's thirty-four (34) community and technical colleges and twenty-two (22) Tech Prep consortia.

Attach the latest version of the local application used to fund eligible recipients (See appendices for both secondary and postsecondary applications)

IV Accountability [Section 113]

A. State's Overall Performance Results and Program Improvement Strategies

	ૺ ૹૺૺૢઌ૱ઌઌ૽ઌ૽૽ૼૺઌ૱	्राचा <i>न्त्र</i> पूरा	Aduntadorima algyo
	58.50	PostSecondary	62.45
1P1 1P2	58.50	PostSecondary	62.45
181	93.21	Secondary	93.13
1S2	89.46- 93.21	Secondary	93.13
2P1	58.50	PostSecondary	62.45
2S1	93.21	Secondary	93.13
2\$2	89.46 -93.21	Secondary	93.13
3P1	72.94	PostSecondary	75.50
3P2	72.23	PostSecondary	75.21

3S1	72.08	Secondary	74.34
4P1	19.29	PostSecondary	21.34
4P2	18.63	PostSecondary	18.85
4\$1	37.78	Secondary	39.01
4S2	31.55	Secondary	29.31

Analyze the state's overall performance results compared to the agreed-upon performance levels for the past program year. For each instance where the state met its performance levels, provide a brief explanation of factors that may have contributed to those results.

Overall, between the secondary and postsecondary systems, Washington State met the Final Agreed upon Performance Levels (FAUPL) in 2004-05.

The secondary system included breakout sessions focused on performance measures during professional development conferences and quarterly meeting with the career and technical directors, and will continue to include sessions focused on their levels of performance in upcoming meetings. These sessions may have contributed to their success in meeting target level of performance in nontraditional enrollments.

The postsecondary system met or exceeded all of the performance measures. Factors contributing to this performance include an increased effort by the State Board for Community and Technical Colleges to share the performance results with the colleges. Those colleges not meeting the system target for non-traditional enrollments and completions submit an improvement plan, targeting their Perkins funds for the proposed improvement activities.

The State's six supplemental performance measure results are in the Appendices, following this narrative section.

For each instance where the state did not meet its performance levels, provide a brief explanation of factors that may have contributed to those results, along with strategies that will be implemented during the program year to improve those results.

The secondary system did not meet the state's Final Agreed upon Performance Levels for Academic Attainment, or Non-traditional Completions.

1S1, 1S2, 2S1, and 2S2 – Academic Attainment, Skill Attainment, Completion, and Diploma – While the system target (93.21) was not met, there was improvement over last year's (from 91.53% to 93.13%). The improvement demonstrated between last year's performance and this year's can be partially attributed to the attention to education reform. These percentages are expected to continue to rise as the state meets the graduation requirements of No Child Left Behind legislation. Governor Gregoire's initiative, Washington Learns, is focused on improving graduation rates for secondary students, and reducing dropout rates.

4S2 – Nontraditional Completion – While the system target was not met, there was improvement over last year's (28.95% to 29.31%) OSPI staff members have improved tracking of nontraditional students by updating the crosswalk of nontraditional programs by CIP codes. The WTECB will convene a workgroup early in 2006 to align those CIPs used at the secondary level with those used by the

postsecondary system. This alignment will assist in tracking data, especially as we measure retention and transitions.

B. State's Performance Results for Special Populations and Program Improvement Strategies

Analyze the state's performance results for special populations listed in Section 3(23) compared to the agreed-upon performance levels for the past program year. For each instance where the state met its performance levels, provide a brief explanation of factors that may have contributed to those results.

Increased attention to accountability at the local levels and at the state level aligns with the increased focus on performance at the federal level may have had influence on the success of some of the special population subgroups in meeting targets. A more robust economy may have played a role in placement for some of the special populations.

For each instance where the state did not meet its performance levels, provide a brief explanation of factors that may have contributed to those results, along with strategies that will be implemented during the program year to improve those results.

Many of the special population subgroups are those traditionally harder to serve individuals, where there are more outside influences to the students' educational success, retention, and placement. Such things as family obligations, values, and mores have a significant influence on whether a student is able to stay in school, or must enter the workplace or stay home to care for other family members. As our population becomes more diverse, it will be increasingly important to share the performance results of these subgroups with the secondary districts and the postsecondary institutions, so they may better serve these students' needs.

C. Definitions

Provide the state's current definitions for the following terms. Underline all or portions of any definitions that have changed from the previous program year.

Vocational participant

Secondary

A student in grades 9-12 who is enrolled in a vocational program.

Postsecondary

A student enrolled at the institution in a vocational program and has enrolled with an Intent Code of F, G, H, J, or K (see below)

- F Vocational Preparatory
- G Vocational Preparatory, Applicant
- H Vocational Apprentice
- J Upgrading job skills (vocational supplemental)
- K Vocational Home and Family Life

Vocational concentrator

Secondary

As Washington continues to improve its data systems, it will develop a workable definition of vocational concentrator. Until that occurs, Washington will continue to use the same definition as used for a vocational completer (see below.)

Postsecondary

As Washington continues to improve its data systems, it will develop a workable definition of vocational concentrator. Until that occurs, Washington will continue to use the same definition as used for a vocational completer (see below).

Vocational completer

Secondary

Student who has completed, with a D or better, 360 hours of instruction in a CIP identified vocational program.

Postsecondary

Completed a vocational program with an exit code 1-4, 9 or T (see below)

Exit Codes:

1. Associate Degree

- 2. Certificates of at least 90 credits or more, or 1,800 hours or more in length
- 3. Certificates of 45-89 credits, or 900 to 1,799 hours in length
- 4. Certificates of less than 45 credits, or 900 hours in length
- 9. Unique program completion for Worker Retraining, WorkFirst or completion of non-credit vocational program that leads to a certification (ex. MSCE, A+ CISCO, etc.)
- T. Associate in Applied Science (AAS-T Degree)

Or

Did not complete a vocational program, but when last enrolled had a student intent F (Vocational Preparatory), and did not return anywhere in the system in the following school year unless enrollment was exclusively life long learning.

Tech-Prep student

Secondary

A student in grades 9-12 enrolled in a vocational program where there is a formal Tech Prep articulation agreement in existence with a postsecondary institution.

Postsecondary

A Tech Prep student is one who received dual credit in the high school career and technical education cluster and then enrolled in a career and technical education postsecondary program in the same cluster or a related pathway.

D. Measurement Approaches

For each of the sub-indicators of performance, provide your measurement approach and definitions for the numerator and denominator. Please do not abbreviate or summarize any of the definitions. Underline all or portions of any definitions that have changed from the previous program year.

1S1 - Secondary Academic Attainment

Measurement approach: High School Graduation/Program Completion – Graduating from high school or completing a program (when graduation or completion is the same as attaining state- or program-defined academic standards)

Numerator: Number of vocational completers who have attained a high school diploma.

Denominator: Number of vocational completers who exited during the year.

1S2 - Secondary Technical Attainment

Measurement approach: High School Graduation/Program Completion – Graduating from high school or completing a program (when graduation or completion is the same as attaining state- or program-defined academic standards)

Numerator: Number of vocational completers who have attained a high school diploma.

Denominator: Number of vocational completers who exited during the year.

2S1 - Secondary High School Completion

Measurement approach: High school Graduation/Program Completion – Completion based on state requirements that may include passing state graduation qualification examination.

Numerator: Number of vocational completers who have attained a high school diploma.

Denominator: Number of vocational completers who exited during the year.

2S2 - Diploma Credential

Measurement approach: National/State Standards and Assessment Systems – Performance benchmarks on national or state standards and assessment systems using national or state-developed and standardized assessment instruments and assessment procedures.

Numerator: Number of vocational completers who have attained a high school diploma.

Denominator: Number of vocational completers who exited during the year.

3S1 - Secondary Placement

Measurement approach: Administrative Record Exchanges/Matching of Administrative Reports – Student records, UI wage records, US Department of Defense records, based on student identifiers. Numerator: Number of vocational completers who have either employment reported in UI wage records, enrolled in higher education or enlistment in the military during the third post-exit quarter. Denominator: Number of vocational completers who exited during the year.

4S1 Participation in Secondary Non-traditional Programs

Measurement approach: State/Local Administrative Data – reporting those students participating in non-traditional programs using information from local administrative data.

Numerator: Number of students in under-represented gender groups who enrolled in a non-traditional program.

Denominator: Number of students enrolled in a non-traditional program during the year.

4S2 - Secondary Non-traditional Completion

Measurement approach: State/Local Administrative Data – reporting those students completing non-traditional programs using information from local administrative data.

Numerator: Number of vocational completers in underrepresented gender groups who completed a non-traditional program.

Denominator: Number of vocational completers of non-traditional programs during the year.

5S1 – Earnings of Completers

Measurement approach: Administrative Record Exchanges/Matching

Median: Annualized earnings in the 3rd quarter after exit for those not in further education or training

5S2 - Employer Satisfaction with Completers

Measurement approach: State Developed and Administered Survey

Numerator: Number of employers satisfied with preparation of completers.

Denominator: Number of employers completing survey.

5S3 – Participant Satisfaction

Measurement approach: State Developed and Administered Survey Numerator: Number of exiters satisfied with preparation and training.

Denominator: Number of participants completing survey.

1P1 - Postsecon dary Academic Attainment

Measurement approach: Program Completion: completion of postsecondary programs (when graduation or completion is the same as attaining state- or program-defined academic standards). Numerator: Number of vocational concentrators who have attained formal awards (a degree, certificate, apprentice-ship, or an industry certification) or completed at least 45 vocational credits with a 2.0 GPA.

Denominator: Number of vocational concentrators who exited during the year.

1P2 - Postsecondary Technical Attainment

Measurement approach: Vocational/Technical Education Grade Point Average: Grade point average for all designated vocational/technical courses including integrated academic/vocational courses.

Numerator: Number of vocational concentrators who have attained formal awards (a degree, certificate, apprentice-ship, or an industry certification) or completed at least 45 vocational credits with a 2.0 GPA.

Denominator: Number of vocational concentrators who exited during the year.

2P1 – Postsecondary Degree Credential

Measurement approach: State/Local Administrative Data – reporting those students receiving degrees and other types of credentials using information from local administrative data.

Numerator: Number of vocational concentrators who have attained formal awards (a degree, certificate, apprentice-ship, or an industry certification) or completed at least 45 vocational credits with a 2.0 GPA.

Denominator: Number of vocational concentrators who exited during the year.

3P1 - Postsecondary Placement

Measurement approach: Administrative Record Exchanges – matching of administrative records – student records, UI wage records, U.S. Department of Defense records, based on student identifiers. Numerator: Number of vocational concentrators who were either employed according to UI wage records, enrolled in higher education or in the military during the second post-exit quarter. Denominator: Number of vocational concentrators who exited during the year.

3P2 - Postsecondary Retention

Measurement approach: Administrative Record Exchanges – matching of administrative records – student records, UI wage records, US Department of Defense records, based on student identifiers. Numerator: Number of vocational concentrators who were either employed according to UI wage records, enrolled in higher education or in the military during the third post-exit quarter. Denominator: Number of vocational concentrators who exited during the year.

4P1 - Postsecondary Non-traditional Participation

Measurement approach: State/Local Administrative Data – reporting those students participating in non-traditional programs using information from local administrative data.

Numerator: Number of students in underrepresented gender groups who enrolled in a non-traditional program.

Denominator: Number of vocational participants in non-traditional programs during the year.

4P2 - Postsecondary Non-traditional Completion

Measurement approach: State/Local Administrative Data – reporting those students participating in non-traditional programs using information from local administrative data.

Numerator: Number of vocational completers in underrepresented gender groups who enrolled in a non-traditional program.

Denominator: Number of vocational completers of non-traditional programs during the year.

5P1 - Earnings of Completers

Measurement approach: Administrative Record Exchanges/Matching

Median: Annualized earnings in the 3rd quarter after exit for those not in further education or training

5P2 - Employer Satisfaction with Completers

Measurement approach: State Developed and Administered Survey Numerator: Number of employers satisfied with preparation of completers.

Denominator: Number of employers completing survey.

5P3 - Participant Satisfaction

Measurement approach: State Developed and Administered Survey Numerator: Number of exiters satisfied with preparation and training.

Denominator: Number of participants completing survey.

E. Improvement Strategies

Provide a brief summary of any changes that are planned to improve the overall accuracy, reliability, and completeness of the state's Perkins accountability data.

The changes made to the definition of a postsecondary Tech Prep student will enable us to more accurate identify and track those students who transition from a secondary Tech Prep program with dual credits into a postsecondary program in the same or a related pathway. This number is expected to be low, but it will reflect postsecondary students – rather than those students who are still in high school, earning Tech Prep college credits. This will provide us with a much more accurate and realistic base on which to measure improvement.

Sharing the secondary data results with the individual districts will increase the emphasis on data completeness and accuracy. The focus on accountability will also provide an impetus for improvement in the development of the districts' Perkins plans.

While social security numbers continue to be a barrier in this state, as disclosure remains voluntary, Washington has continued to experience increases in those willing to provide this information. These increases will enable us to more accurately track placement of our secondary and postsecondary students. Involvement in the Wage Record Interchange System pilot program for data sharing provides additional counts in placement of those students who leave our state and enter into further education or employment outside of Washington.

V. Monitoring Follow-up

If your state received a monitoring visit during the past program year, provide an update on corrective actions, if any, that your state was required to take, as well as any suggested improvement strategies that the state elected to complete.

In July 2005 Washington State received a monitoring visit. The OVAE follow-up letter identified two findings:

1. In its FY 2003-2004 consolidated annual report (CAR), Washington did not include indicator-specific performance data for postsecondary tech-prep students.

Corrective Action:

Resolution to this finding was addressed in our response to condition placed on our July 1, 2005 Perkins grant award. The state was not required to take further specific action to this finding.

2. Washington's tech-prep data is not complete, accurate, or reliable, as required by the Perkins III legislation, and this appears to stems [sic] from the state's imprecise definitions for what constitutes a "tech-prep student" and a "tech-prep program."

Corrective Action:

Washington must re-evaluate the definitions it uses to distinguish tech-prep students and programs in the state's accountability system. Performance data resulting from definitional changes must be reported in the state's CAR due to our office on December 31, 2006.

Washington State redefined its definition of a postsecondary tech-prep student in our Perkins 2005-06 Perkins Plan Revision, submitted August 11, 2005:

A Tech Prep student is one who received dual credit in the high school career and technical education cluster and then enrolled in a career and technical education postsecondary program in the same cluster or a related pathway.

OVAE staff provided improvement strategy suggestions, which have been shared among the systems' administrators, career and technical directors and councils, and tech-prep coordinators.

Program Administration

Strategy #1 suggested that Washington should consider directing more resources toward educational reform efforts, to assist local recipients to meet Perkins requirements to strengthen the academic skills of students and integrate academic and technical skill instruction.

This suggestion has moved forward to the Workforce Board for discussion on the alignment of Perkins funds with other resources to align the goals of Perkins with our state's strategic plan, *High Skills*, *High Wages*. Further action is expected this winter.

Strategy #3 suggested that Washington include performance results as an element in its monitoring systems and to use these measures to develop corrective actions that foster continuous improvement.

The secondary system has moved forward in its efforts to share performance standards with the individual school districts. This was an agenda item at the vocational directors fall meeting. The intention is to ensure districts are notified of the FAUPLs for 2005-06, and districts actual level of performance is shared. From there, districts will better be able to use their funds toward program improvement.

Program Finance

Strategy #2 suggested that Washington revise its 2006 Perkins FY budget to remove the amount indicated as secondary reserve, and move those funds to the total budgeted for secondary programs. This suggestion was completed.

Local Applications

Strategy #2 suggested that the state use a portion of any carryover funding available from previous grant awards to target specific priorities. Strategy #3 encouraged the state to refine its definition of "size, scope, and quality."

Suggested policy changes were drafted, following meetings with the secondary and postsecondary systems, and forwarded for consideration by the Workforce Board. Further consideration and action will be taken during an upcoming Board meeting.

Strategy # 4 suggested that the local applications be used as a basis for local monitoring, enabling the secondary and postsecondary systems to review implementation of a proposed activities by an education entity.

Both systems have made changes in their monitoring methods, basing review on their respective district or institutional Perkins plans.

Tech Prep

Strategy #2 suggested that the state develop a handbook of tech prep policies and procedures.

The Tech Prep directors have undertaken this task and a handbook. It will be used as a guide to assist new consortium directors or to provide operational procedures when questions arise. This book is under development, and may be completed by the end of this program year.

VI. Workforce Investment Act (WIA) Incentive Grant Award Results

If your state received a WIA Incentive Grant during the past program year, and used a portion of the funds for activities allowable under Perkins III, provide a summary of the results of those activities. If your state did not use a portion of the funds for Perkins-related activities, please indicate

Not applicable to Washington State in 2004-05.

APPENDICES

- State Accountability Measures
- Secondary Organizational Chart
- Postsecondary Organizational Chart
- Workforce Board Organizational Chart
- Secondary Perkins Plans
- Postsecondary Perkins Plan

Washington State Supplemental Performance Measures

At the time that core indicators were developed and targets negotiated in alignment with the 1998 Perkins Act, the Workforce Board adopted three additional indicators to submit to the U.S. Department of Education: Earnings, Employer and Participant Satisfaction.

In setting targets, the Board focused on improving performance in three areas: employer satisfaction, student earnings, and the number of completers of postsecondary vocational-technical education (in order to close the skills gap). The Board set ambitious performance targets for each of these three indicators.

A. Secondary Results for 2004-2005

1. Earnings: The median annualized earnings of completers during the third quarter after leaving high school, excluding individuals who are enrolled in further education (expressed in 2003 dollars).

The performance target for 2003-2004 was \$10,634. The results attained were \$10,238. The results are based on 3,006 students in the class of 2003-04 with valid social security numbers who were employed and not enrolled in further education.

Earnings results by gender and for special populations include:

	Annualized	Number of
Student Population	Earnings	Students
Grand Total	\$10,238	3,006
Male	\$11,640	1,624
Female	\$ 9,018	1,382
American Indian or Alaska Native	\$10,822	75
Asian or Pacific Islander	\$10,616	160
Black, non-Hispanic	\$ 9,842	109
Hispanic	\$10,677	262
White non-Hispanic	\$10,158	2,397
Unknown/Other	\$ 8,073	3
Individuals with Disabilities	\$10,603	239
Economically Disadvantaged	\$10,907	700
Nontraditional Enrollees	\$10,255	359
Male Nontraditional	\$11,676	229
Female Nontraditional	\$ 9,042	130
Limited English Proficient	\$13,679	59
Tech Prep	\$10,397	1,701

2. Employer Satisfaction: The percentage of employers who report satisfaction with new employees who recently completed secondary vocational education as evidenced by survey responses to a biennial survey.

This result is measured every other year in odd-numbered years. The most recent results, from the 2005 employer survey, are 87.4 percent satisfaction, compared with a target of 84.5 percent. Results are based on the responses of 283 employers.

3. Participant Satisfaction: The percentage of participants who report satisfaction with secondary vocational-technical education as evidenced by survey responses.

This result is measured every other year in even-numbered years. The most recent results, from the 2002 participant survey, are 95.7 percent satisfaction, compared with a target of 95.0 percent. Results are based on the responses of 1,654 secondary students. The next observation to be made in this series will be for the class of 2003-04, with results available in 2006.

B. Postsecondary Results for 2004-2005

1. Earnings: The median annualized earnings of completers during the third quarter after leaving college, excluding individuals who are enrolled in further education (expressed in 2003 dollars).

The performance target for 2004-2005 was \$22,069. The results attained were \$21,958. The results are based on 24,501 students in the class of 2003-04 with valid social security numbers who were employed and not enrolled in further education.

Earnings results by gender and for special populations include:

	Annualized	Number of
Student Population	Earnings	Students
Grand Total	\$22,069	24,501
Male	\$25,199	11,115
Female	\$19,784	13,121
American Indian or Alaska Native	\$19,950	407
Asian or Pacific Islander	\$23,430	1,794
Black, non-Hispanic	\$19,451	1,347
Hispanic	\$20,783	1,502
White non-Hispanic	\$22,219	17,348
Unknown/Other	\$22,506	2,103
Individuals with Disabilities	\$16,329	1,236
Economically Disadvantaged	\$18,849	7,067
Nontraditional Enrollees	\$22,474	2,891
Male Nontraditional	\$23,159	1,120
Female Nontraditional	\$19,489	1,771
Other Educational Barriers	\$16,768	2,876
Limited English Proficient	\$17,117	690


2. Employer Satisfaction: The percentage of employers who report satisfaction with new employees who recently completed postsecondary vocational education as evidenced by survey responses to a biennial survey.

This result is measured every other year in odd-numbered years. The most recent results, from the 2005 employer survey, are 91.2 percent satisfaction, compared with a target of 90.0 percent. Results are based on the responses of 257 employers.


3. Participant Satisfaction: The percentage of participants who report satisfaction with postsecondary vocational-technical education as evidenced by survey responses.

This result is measured every other year in even-numbered years. The most recent results, from the 2002 participant survey, are 87.2 percent satisfaction, compared with a target of 91.0 percent.

Results are based on the responses of 1,650 postsecondary students. The next observation to be made in this series will be for the class of 2003-04, with results available in 2006.




Postsecondary Organizational Chart



Workforce Training and Education Coordinating Board

Organizational Chart



Secondary Perkins Applications

Perkins (Consortium Package) - Part 1

County District	ESD	District Name	County
County District		School District	

2005-06 Perkins (Consortium Application)

ALERT! DO NOT PASTE ANY SPECIAL FORMATTING FROM A WORD DOCUMENT INTO THIS WEB FORM.

We strongly suggest you use Word for typing lengthy narrative content and then copy and paste the content into this web form. However, creating tables in word, bolding fonts in word or performing a copy/paste of <u>several pages</u> from the word document into the web form will cause an error message.

The proper method is to copy and paste plain text only from the word document into the narrative text block on this web form then save immediately. After saving, go back to the word document select another section, paste into the web form and save. Continue this process for all narratives.

Submission Notes:

ALERT! In order to receive funds for 2005-06 under the Carl D. Perkins Vocational and Technical Education Act of 1998 (Perkins 3), districts must submit an application that describes how the district will carry out the requirements of the Act and, in particular, Sections 134 and 135 of the Act.

Districts wishing to apply for these funds, must complete this application by providing responses to all ten sections of the application.



All districts offering approved vocational-technical education programs, including those not receiving Perkins 3 funds, are required to maintain local program inventories on file. This information includes the specific programs offered, type of student leadership used, advisory committee minutes and membership, and goals/objectives for program improvement.

ALERT! If you are requesting a waiver of the consortium requirements (for districts receiving less than a \$15,000 allocation), please complete the waiver form at the bottom of this application.

For technical assistance, please contact:

Rod Duckworth, Director Career and Technical Education

OSPI Old Capitol Building PO Box 47200 360-725-6242

Email to: Rod Duckworth

Allocation Amount				
Allocations:			'	
Place the allocation amount in the field below:	a to the		~	-
	14			
Check the appropriate line(s) below:				es.
Allocation amount is less than \$15,000 and district is requesting criteria (for federal funds only).	g a waiv	er of mini	mum	grant
District is in consortium.				14.
District is not applying for Perkins 3 funds.				
REQUIRED AND PERMISSIVE USES OF FUNDS (Section 134,	b, 1 an	d Section	135)
1a. REQUIRED USES OF THE FUNDS				
Provide an approximate dollar amount and brief description of how support the following "required" uses of the funds. If you do not pl particular category, please briefly describe how the district is meet estimated amount of state or other funds that will be used to suppother sections of this plan should support the intended expenditure.	lan to us ting this oort this	e Perkins requireme	3 func	ds for a nd the
1.A1Strengthen academic, vocational, and technical skills of stude through integration of academic, vocational, and technical program	ents ms.	Amount of Perkins Funds	4	Estimate ' of State Funds
Your description for this question will be provided as a response to	o questi	on 3a of tl	ne app	olication.
Provide an approximate dollar amount and brief description of hor support the following "required" uses of the funds. If you do not particular category, please briefly describe how the district is mee estimated amount of state or other funds that will be used to sup other sections of this plan should support the intended expenditure.	plan to use this port this	se Perkins requirem	3 fur ent, a	nds for a and the
1.A2Provide programs that address all aspects of an industry.		unt of 3 Funds		stimate ate Fundsa
				·

Your description for this question will be provided as a response to question 3b of the application.

Provide an approximate dollar amount and brief description of how Perkins 3 funds will be used to support the following "required" uses of the funds. If you do not plan to use Perkins 3 funds for a

particular category, please briefly describe how the district is meeting this requirement, and the estimated amount of state or other funds that will be used to support this activity. Narrative in other sections of this plan should support the intended expenditures.

A3Develop, improve, and expand the use of technolo of tessional development), providing students with the providing students with the providing and telecommunications careers and entire work with high technology industries.			ount of kins 3 unds	Estimate of State Funds
escribe how Perkins 3 funds will be used to support the this activity, provide a brief description of how you timated amount of state and/or other funds that will				l be used nt and the
.A4Provide professional development programs for to dministrators, and counselors including inservice and ractices to involve parents and the community.	eachers, I preservice, and	Per	ount of kins 3 unds	Estimate of State Funds
1.A5Evaluate programs and assess how special popubeing served.	lations are	Amount Perkins Fund	s 3	Estimate of State Funds
Your description for this question will be provided as application.	a response to q	uestion 7,	8 and 9	of the
1.A6Develop and upgrade programs.	Amount Perkins 3 i	7 .		stimate tate Funds
Describe how Perkins 3 funds will be used to support for this activity, provide a brief description of how you estimated amount of state and/or other funds that you	OUR CUSUICE IS III	ecunu una	, i cuuii e	y.
1.A7Provide services and activities that are of suffice and quatity to be effective.	ient size, scope	' Per	ount of kins 3 unds	Estimate of State Funds
		1 -		<u> </u>

Your description for this question will be provided as a response to question 5 of the application.

1.A8Link secondary and postsecondary education including Tec Prep.	h	Amount o Perkins 3 Funds	3	Estimate of State Funds
		0		
Describe how Perkins 3 funds will be used to support this activi for this activity, provide a brief description of how your district estimated amount of state and/or other funds that will be used	15 11	ieeinu ins i	euun en	ieni onu ine
Total: anticipated expenditure of required uses of funds.	P	Amount of erkins 3 Fund	ds of	Estimate State Funds
	-			• •
REQUIRED AND PERMISSIVE USES OF THE FUNDS (Section	on	134, B, 1 ar	d Sect	ion 35)
1b. PERMISSIVE USES OF THE FUNDS				**************************************
Describe how the district will use Perkins 3 funds to support the funds. Indicate the approximate dollar amount to be expended to use Perkins 3 funds for a particular category, please briefly performing this activity. Narrative in other sections of this plan expenditures.	desi	rihe how the	y ii yo: distric	t is ended
1.B1Involve parents, businesses, and labor organizations in p implementing, and evaluating vocational-technical programs.	lann	ling,		Amount of Perkins 3 Funds
1.B1Involve parents, businesses, and labor organizations in p evaluating vocational-technical programs.	lanr	ning, implem	enting,	and
Your description for this question will be provided as a respor	se i	to question 4	of the	application.
1.B2Provide career guidance and academic counseling.				mount of kins 3 Funds
Describe how Perkins 3 funds will be used to support this act for this activity, provide a brief description of how your distri	ivity ct is	/. If no Perki performing	ns fund this act	s will be used ivity.
L. related experiences				ount of
1.B3Provide work-related experiences	mana para		Perkins	s 3 Funds
	استغادتين		<u>L</u>	

B4Provide programs for special populations		Amount of Perkins 3 Funds
our description for this question will be provided as a respons oplication.	e to question	7, 8, and 9 of the
.B5Support local business and education partnerships.		Amount of Perkins 3 Funds
.B6Assist vocational student organizations.		Amount of Perkins 3 Funds
Describe how Perkins 3 funds will be used to support this acti	vity. If no Pe	Perkins 3 Funds
1.B6Assist vocational student organizations. Describe how Perkins 3 funds will be used to support this actifor this activity, provide a brief description of how your distriction. 1.B7Provide mentoring and support services.	vity. If no Pe	Perkins 3 Funds
Describe how Perkins 3 funds will be used to support this acti for this activity, provide a brief description of how your distric	vity. If no Pe	Perkins 3 Funds rkins funds will be useng this activity. Amount of
Describe how Perkins 3 funds will be used to support this acti for this activity, provide a brief description of how your distric	t is performing	Perkins 3 Funds rkins funds will be used this activity. Amount of Perkins 3 Funds erkins funds will be used the second
Describe how Perkins 3 funds will be used to support this acti for this activity, provide a brief description of how your distriction. 1.B7Provide mentoring and support services. Describe how Perkins 3 funds will be used to support this activity.	t is performing	Perkins 3 Funds rkins funds will be used this activity. Amount of Perkins 3 Funds erkins funds will be used the second

B9Provide initial teacher preparation, including that for teacher candousiness and industry.	didates from	Amount of Perkins 3 Funds
our description for this question will be provided as a response to qu	estion 10 of	the application.
1.B10Develop and improve curriculum.	3	nount of ins 3 Funds
Describe how Perkins 3 funds will be used to support this activity. If refer this activity, provide a brief description of how your district is perf	no Perkins fu forming this a	nds will be used activity.
1.B11Support family and consumer sciences education.		Amount of Perkins 3 Funds
		A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR
1.B12Provide programs for adults and school dropouts to complete education. Describe how Perkins 3 funds will be used to support this activity. It		Funds
for this activity, provide a brief description of how your district is pe	erforming this	s activity.
1.B13Provide services for placement in employment and further ed	ucation.	Amount of Perkins 3 Funds
Describe how Perkins 3 funds will be used to support this activity. I for this activity, provide a brief description of how your district is performed by the support of the provided by the support of the support o	If no Perkins erforming th	funds will be used is activity.
1.B14 Support nontraditional training and employment.		Amount of Perkins 3 Funds

Districts must dedicate at least 2% of the total allocation amount to support nontraditional training and employment. Your description for this question will be provided as a response to question 9 of the application. **Amount of** 1.B15 Other Activities consistent with the purpose of the Act. Perkins 3 Funds Describe how Perkins 3 funds will be used to support this activity. If no Perkins funds will be used for this activity, provide a brief description of how your district is performing this activity. Total Total Total Allocation Total of Required and Permissive Uses This figure must match the total of **Funds for Permissive Uses** Funds for Required Uses **Grand Total** Required and Permissive Uses of Funds at left. 0 0 0 0 Form Number: iG214 Last Modified By: On: Perkins (Consortium Package) - Part 2 **ESD District Name** County District County 2. STATE LEVELS OF PERFORMANCE (Section 134, b, 2) provide a response for each sub-part below. Describe how the district will use Perkins funds and local program activities to meet the state-defined Adjusted Performance Level (percentages) assigned to each indicator. A.Increase student attainment of challenging state academic and vocational/technical skills proficiencies so that at least 93.21% of the districts students graduate from high school. B. Increase attainment of a high school diploma or its equivalent or a proficiency credential to at least 93.21% of the districts students graduate from high school.

32

school placement data.

C.Increase placement in postsecondary education, military service, or employment to at least 72.08% of the districts graduating students as determined by post-high

D.Increase enrollment in the districts nontraditional training and employment programs to 37.78% and completion of the district's nontraditional training and employment programs to 31.55% as determined by enrollment and completion data.
3a. IMPROVE ACADEMIC AND TECHNICAL SKILLS OF STUDENTS ENROLLED IN VOCATIONAL-TECHNICAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS (Section 134, b, 3A)
Describe how the district will improve the academic and technical skills of students participating in vocational-technical education programs by strengthening the academic and vocational-technical components of such programs through the integration of academics with vocational-technical education programs through a coherent sequence of courses to ensure learning in the core academic and vocational-technical subjects.
3b. ALL ASPECTS OF AN INDUSTRY (Section 134, b, 3B)
Describe how the district will provide students with strong experience in, and understanding of, all aspects of an industry (i.e., industry skill standards, certifications, career progression, and management).
3c. ACADEMIC PROFICIENCIES (Section 134, b, 3C)
Describe how the district will ensure that students who participate in vocational-technical education programs are taught to the same challenging academic proficiencies as are taught for all other students.
4. INVOLVING OTHERS (Section 134, b, 4)
Describe how students, teachers, representatives of business and industry, labor organizations, representatives of special populations, and other interested individuals (i.e., parents, community members) are involved in the development, implementation, and evaluation of vocational-technical education programs assisted under this Act, and how such individuals and entities are effectively informed about and assisted in understanding, the requirements of this Act.

Form Number: iG214

Last Modified By: On:

Perkins (Consortium Package) - Part 3 County District Name **ESD** County District 5. Assurances Districts planning to offer vocational-technical programs in secondary schools must, as an operational requisite, do so in conformity with the State Plan for Vocational-Technical Education (WAC 180-58-055[7]). The following assurances provide evidence that the district will offer programs consistent with the state and federal law. Instructions: Review the assurance statements. Please key in the requested names of school officials and the dates on which they have signed a printed copy of the assurances section. Typed name of superindtendent Date printed copy was signed Date printed copy was signed Typed name of board chair Typed name of vocational director/administrator Date printed copy was signed Typed name of general advisory chair Date printed copy was signed ALERT! Place the hard copy of the printed, signed, and dated assurance section in district files for monitoring/auditing purposes. 6.IMPROVEMENT OF QUALITY (Section 134, b, 6) Describe the process that will be used to independently evaluate and continuously improve the

7a. SPECIAL POPULATIONS (Section 134, b, 7A)

performance of the district's vocational-technical education program.

Describe how the district will review vocational-technical education programs to identify and adopt strategies to overcome barriers that would otherwise result in lowered rates of access to, or lowered success in, the programs for special populations.

	•			
. SPECIAL POPULATIONS (Section 134, b, 7B)				
escribe how the district will provide programs the meet the State adjusted levels of performance uestion #2 of this application.)	hat are designed to dec. (The Performance	enable the Indicators	special po are listed	pulations in

. SPECIAL POPULATIONS (Section 134, b, 8)				
Describe how individuals who are members of the gainst on the basis		ns will not	be discrim	inated
gainst on the basis If their status as members of the special popula Note: Special populations means individuals will lisadvantaged families (including foster children single parents (including single parents (including single parents)	th disabilities, indivi	duals from ring for no	n economic ontradition	cally al training kers, and
Describe how individuals who are members of the gainst on the basis of their status as members of the special populations means individuals with disadvantaged families (including foster childrenand employment, single parents (including single ndividuals with other barriers to achievement, individuals with other barriers to achievement, in	th disabilities, indivi	duals from ring for no	n economic ontradition	cally al training kers, and
gainst on the basis If their status as members of the special popula Note: Special populations means individuals will disadvantaged families (including foster children single parents (including single parents (including single)	itions. th disabilities, indivi n), individuals prepa le pregnant women) including those with	duals from ring for no , displaced limited Er	n economic ontradition d homema nglish profi	cally al training kers, and ciency.
gainst on the basis f their status as members of the special popula Note: Special populations means individuals will lisadvantaged families (including foster children and employment, single parents (including single) ndividuals with other barriers to achievement, i	th disabilities, indiving, indiving individuals prepale pregnant women including those with	duals from ring for no , displaced limited Er	economic ontradition d homema nglish profi	cally al training kers, and ciency.

Describe how the district will provide comprehensive professional development to teachers, counselors, and administrators including:

- Inservice and preservice training in state-of-the art vocational and technical education programs and techniques, in effective teaching skills based on research, and in effective practices to improve parental and community involvement;
- Support of education programs for teachers of vocational and technical education and others who are involved in the direct delivery of educational services to vocational and technical education students, to ensure that such teachers and personnel stay current with

all aspects of an industry;

- Internship programs that provide business experience to teachers; and
- Programs designed to train teachers specifically in the use and application of technology.

AIVER REQUES arl D. Perkins Vo	T FORM ocational and T	echnical Educ	cation Act of 1	998		
lequired of district	s receiving less	than \$15,000 a	allocations and (not particir	oating	
a consortium).						
istricts shall not re greater that \$15, rural, sparsely po echnical education ncouraged to part equest a waiver, p	000. A school di pulated area or i programs, and i icipate in a conse	strict shall rece is a public char is unable to pa ortium when th	eive a waiver of ter school opera rticipate in a co ne allocation is t	this requirating seconorium. In the seconorium of the seconorium o	ement if it ndary voca Districts ar 000. If you	is located in tional- e wish to
	•					N.
ິ Yes No 1. 1 -12)?	s the district in a	a rural, sparsel	y populated are	a (225 or f	ewer stude	nts in grades
-12)r				* .		
Yes No 2. 1	s the district a c	harter school o	perating second	dary vocati	onal-techn	ical educatio
rograms?						
. Describe why it or the use of Perki	is not feasible fo ins funds.	r the district to	participate in	a consortiu	ım with oth	ner districts
				the second second		
_				•		
C Yes No 4. district's vocationa	Has the district l I program?	peen in a conso	rtium that can	no longer r	neet the ne	eds of the
Describe why the o	consortium no lo	nger meets th	e district's voca	tional prog	gram needs	
		•	•			
						·.

- 1. Signed assurances from Section #5 of the federal funding application have been previously submitted as part of the district's application for Perkins 3 funds.
- 2. Members of the consortium are not granted the amount that each would have received as their original allocation.

Name of Vocational Director/Administrator and Date	
(Example John Smith 6/26/05)	
Name of vocational director/administrator and date of signed (hard copy to be kept in district files). See infactory of the waiver form and application.	on which a hard copy of the waiver form was formation below about how to create a printed
Consortium Requirements	
1. The consortium consists of two or more so provide vocational programs and services to secondathe \$15,000 criteria.	
2. One school district acts as he fiscal agent consortium.	for the other members of the
3. Each district in the consortium must com single district application for all districts in a	plete and submit a Perkins application. A consortium is not acceptable.
4. Funds made available under the grant ar that are of such size, scope, and quality as to be	•
are or such such as on:	Form Number: i

Last Modified By: On:

Postsecondary Perkins Plan Template

2005-06 Perkins Plan

Section 1

Improve Academic and Technical Skills

Describe how you will improve the academic and technical skills of students participating in vocational and technical education programs by strengthening the academic and vocational and technical components of such programs through the integration of academics with vocational and technical education programs through a coherent sequence of courses to ensure learning in the core academic and vocational and technical subjects.

	Indicator 1	Activities will improve the number of successful progrelevant educational or skill credentials.	ram completers who leave the program with the
	Indicator 2	Activities will improve the percentage of former voca employed or enroll for further education.	ntional technical education participants who become
	Indicator 3	Activities will improve the percent of non-traditional	l students participating in non-traditional programs.
	Indicator 4	Activities will improve the percent of non-traditional	l completers in non-traditional programs.
٠			
7	uesday, Decen	nber 27, 2005	Page 1 of

Section 2

All Aspects of an Industry

Describe how you will provide students with strong experience in and an understanding of all aspects of an industry, such as industry skills standards, certifications, career progression, and management.

]	Indicator 1	Activities will improve the number of successful program completers who leave the program with the relevant educational or skill credentials.
	Indicator 2	Activities will improve the percentage of former vocational technical education participants who become mployed or enroll for further education.
	Indicator 3	Activities will improve the percent of non-traditional students participating in non-traditional programs
	Indicator 4	Activities will improve the percent of non-traditional completers in non-traditional programs.

2005-06 Perkins Plan

Section 3

4	cai	de	mic	Pro	ficiei	ncies

Describe how you will ensure that students who participate in vocational and technical education programs are taught to the same challenging academic proficiencies as are taught for all other students.

Indicator 1	Activities will improve the number of successful program complete relevant educational or skill credentials.	ers who leave the program with the
☐ Indicator 2	Activities will improve the percentage of former vocational techni employed or enroll for further education.	cal education participants who becom
☐ Indicator 3	Activities will improve the percent of non-traditional students par	ticipating in non-traditional programs
☐ Indicator 4	Activities will improve the percent of non-traditional completers	in non-traditional programs.

2005-06 Perkins Plan

Section 4

Involving Others

Describe how students, teachers, representatives of business and industry, labor organizations, representatives of special populations, and other interested individuals are involved in the development, implementation, and evaluation of vocational and technical education programs assisted under this Act. Include how such individuals and entities are effectively informed about and assisted in understanding the requirements of this Act.

☐ Indicator I	Activities will improve the number of successful program relevant educational or skill credentials.	n completers who leave the program with th
☐ Indicator 2	Activities will improve the percentage of former vocation become employed or enroll for further education.	nal technical education participants who
Indicator 3	Activities will improve the percent of non-traditional str programs.	dents participating in non-traditional
Indicator 4	Activities will improve the percent of non-traditional co	empleters in non-traditional programs.

2005-06 Perkins Plan

Section 5

		-	_	
-	rovement	- 4	/b	_ / : 4.
I see F	PANAMANI	aı	C / LII	muv
	11/1/2/11/2000	~,	~~	****

Describe the process that will be used to independently evaluate and continuously improve program performance and student learning.

Indicator l	Activities will improve the number of successful program completers who leave the program with to relevant educational or skill credentials.
Indicator 2	Activities will improve the percentage of former vocational technical education participants who become employed or enroll for further education.
Indicator 3	Activities will improve the percent of non-traditional students participating in non-traditional programs.
Indicator 4	Activities will improve the percent of non-traditional completers in non-traditional programs.

Section 6

Special Populations

Special population students include: displaced homemakers, single parents, single pregnant women, non-traditional students, limited English proficient, disabled, and economically and academically disadvantaged.

(A) Program Assessment Process

Describe how vocational and technical education programs will be reviewed to identify and adopt strategies to overcome barriers that result in lowering rates of access to or lowering success in the programs for special populations.

(B) Retention Strategies

Describe retention strategies designed to enable special population students to succeed in vocational and technical education programs.

(C) Non-discrimination Efforts

Describe how you will ensure that members of special populations will not be discriminated against on the basis of their status as members of the special population.

(D) Non-traditional Training

Describe how non-traditional training and employment will be promoted.

(E) Student Tracking System

Describe how you will monitor the progress of special populations participating in vocational and technical education programs.

~ • I D I	
Special Popula	<i>11/11</i> 77

Special population students include: displaced homemakers, single parents, single pregnant women, non-traditional students, limited English proficient, disabled, and economically and academically disadvantaged.

(F) ABE and Student Services

Describe how Perkins funds will be used with ABE and student services resources to provide service to students with disabilities.

Indicator I	Activities will improve the number of successful program completers who leave the program with t relevant educational or skill credentials.
Indicator 2	Activities will improve the percentage of former vocational technical education participants who become employed or enroll for further education.
Indicator 3	Activities will improve the percent of non-traditional students participating in non-traditional programs.
Indicator 4	Activities will improve the percent of non-traditional completers in non-traditional programs.

Section 7

each of the following:	professional development programs to teachers, counselors, and administrators, including
(A) In-service and Pre-se	ervice
In-service and pre-service traini	ing in state-of-the-art vocational and technical education programs, techniques in effective practices to improve community involvement.
	그는 어느 얼마는 아래 나는 아래 어디 나이 얼마나 하면 다다면 다 다 했다.
EST.	
(B) Opportunities to Stay	v Current
(D) Opportunities to stay	s for teachers and others involved in direct delivery of vocational technical education to
Support of Education programs	personnel stay current with all aspects of an industry.
CHSuic aid.	비용하다 그는 그림 사이지를 하는 것이 하는 얼굴을 하나요요? 그림이
	그 그 그는 그 이 이 내 전한 그로 아버지는 얼룩되게 하는 그래요?
	그 그는 그는 그는 말을 잃는 그는 일이 그렇게 했다. 그릇이 뭐
(C) Internship Program	us and the contract of the con
Internship programs that provide	ide business experience to teachers.
7A.	
(D) Technology Trainin	y
(D) Technology Training	
, , ,	g achers specifically in the use and application of technology.
, , ,	
, , ,	
• •	
• •	
• •	
Programs designed to train tea	
Programs designed to train tea	achers specifically in the use and application of technology. ctivities will improve the number of successful program completers who leave the program.

☐ Indicator 4 Activities will improve the percent of non-traditional completers in non-traditional programs

Status of Funds

U.S. Department of Education Office of Vocational and Adult Education

Approved:

OMB Number: 1830-0503 Expiration Date: 12/31/2006

Expiration Date: 1.

FINANCIAL STATUS REPORT FOR STATE-ADMINISTERED VOCATIONAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS

Carl D. Perkins Vocational and Applied Technology Education Act of 1990(Februis 11)	Act of 1990(Fethins 11)	~~
RECEIPIENT ORGANIZATION	2. PR/AWRD NUMBERS:	
(Name and Complete Address, Including Zip Code)	Basic Grant to State: EV048A	EV048A040047
WORKFORCE TRAINING & EDUCATION COODINATING BOARD 128 10th AVE S.W., 6 FLOOR	Tech. Prep. Education : EV243A	EV243A040047
CLYMPIA, WA 98504-3105	4. FINAL REPORT: YES	ON
RECIPIENT IDENTIFYING NUMBER:		
ACCOUNTING BASIS: CASH X		90000000
FEDERAL FUNDING PERIOD (mm/dd/yy)		00/30/2000
PERIOD COVERED BY THIS REPORT (mm/dd/yy)	.	03/05/60
REMARKS: (Attach any explanation deemed necessary or information required by Federal sponsoring agency in compliance with gorverning legislation)	ral sponsoring agency in compliance with gorverning legislation)	. •
CERTIFICATION I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct and complete and that all outlays and unliquidated obligations are for the purpose set forth in the award documents.	d complete and that all outlays and unliquidated obligations are for t	r the
SINGATURE OF AUTHORIZED CERTIFYING OFFICIAL:	DATE REPORT SUBMITTED :	
60 Per 19	(2-29-06)	
TYPE OR PRINTED NAME AND TITLE:	TELEPHONE (Including Area Code):	
WALTER WONG ADMINISTRATOR	FORM A	ИA

STATE: WA	INTERIM Federal Funding Period:	July 1, 2004	2004	c	w	u.	o O		<u></u>	.	× :
	A B Net Outlays Previously Total Outlays this Report Reported Period		Ne Program Income Credit	this report od s B - C)	Net outlays To Date (Columns A+D)	Non-Federal share of outlays	Total Federal share of outlays (Cotumns E - F) u	Federal share of uniquidated obligations	Federal share of outlays and uniquidated obligations (Columns G-H)	Federal Funds Authorized in State Pfan	Balance of Uncolligated Federal funds (Columns J.I)
Tripa Exp. Schmild States Schmild Sc											
Reserve				1 00 (4)	0003		\$0.00	Ž	50.03	00002977013	00:03
Secondary Eligible Recipients	2	NP 027 670 00	2 3	\$1,077,670.00	\$1,077,670.00	₹	\$1,077,670.00	2 5	81,077,670,00		\$0.00
Postsecondary Eligible Recipients		\$1,077,679.00	90.03	\$1,077,470.00	\$1,077,670.00	90.03	\$1,077,670,001			W (6) 10) W	80.5
Other Expenditures				77 012 836 1664	17,017,168,710,77	2224,235,984.00	\$7,532,726.77	\$934,680.23	00./04/46/40/00 60.606.03/00/00		00.03
Secondary Eligible Recipients		2231,768,710.77	ž	CX 503 (00)	263,503,030,00	\$253,804,000.00	89,699,030.00	Ž	00'000'660'68		80.08
Postsecondary Eligible Recipients		E263,503,030,00	8 9	\$495,271,740.77	\$495,271,740.77	\$478,039,984,00	\$17,231,758.77	1977 (1022)			68 EF
Total Other Expenditures		ASSESSMENT AND ASSESSMENT ASSESSMENT AND ASSESSMENT ASSESSMENT ASSESSMENT ASSESSMENT AND ASSESSMENT ASSESSMENT ASSESSMENT AND ASSESSMENT ASSE	000	The special staff							10 mg
State Leadership				Pe8 527 30	568 667 20	DN	\$59,667.20	\$81,332.80	00,000,0218	\$150,000.00	00:05
Nontraditional Training and Employment	<u> </u>	\$68,667.20	2 9	\$148.491.28	\$148,491.28	ž	\$148,491.28	577,909.72	\$1,887,612.00		80:08
State Institutions	2 2	5148,491,28	2	\$41,862,787.70	\$41,862,787.70		\$1,391,851.70	\$455,002.82	\$2,264,013.00	S2,264,013.00	888
Total State Leadership		\$42 079 946.11	00.03	542,079,946,18	\$1,554,917,23	1827,710.81	\$727,206.42	\$404,799.58	\$1,132,005.00		
State Administration	d-N	\$1,554,917.23	TALL STOCKERS	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	N. 125. 18 18 18 18 18	120000 ·					
CELLAR COLUMN CONTROL											0005
The H: Tech-Prep Education				1000000	16 0/6 773	dN.	PE:076,113	\$56,948.06	\$101,919.00	\$1,936,455.00	00:05
State Administration		344,970.94	≨ §	E1,529,953,73	\$1,929,993.73	æ	\$1,929,983.73	17:104:05	MAC 274.00	12,016,374.00	900
Local Consortia		101505(525)16 AN	8	11.974,944.67	SINGRAC	200	16,874,004,0				
TOTAL TECHPREP EDUCATION	20.04										
Oseo 20. 1833											

Office of Vocational and Adult Education U.S. Department of Education

Approved:

12/31/2005 1830-0503 Expiration Date: OMB Number:

FINANCIAL STATUS REPORT FOR STATE-ADMINISTERED VOCATIONAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS 09/30/2005 09/30/2005 EV048A030047 EV243A030047 I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct and complete and that all outlays and unliquidated obligations are for the (Attach any explanation deemed necessary or information required by Federal sponsoring agency in compliance with gorverning legislation) YES To: To: PR/AWRD NUMBERS: (Including Area Code): Tech. Prep. Education: Basic Grant to State: FINAL REPORT: 20-82-21 DATE REPORT SUBMITTED: Carl D. Perkins Vocational and Applied Technology Education Act of 1990(Perkins II) 07/01/2003 10/01/2004 ri ACCRUAL From: From: WORKFORCE TRAINING & EDUCATION COODINATING BOARD SINGATURE OF AUTHORIZED CERTIFYING OFFICIAL: purpose set forth in the award documents. 7. PERIOD COVERED BY THIS REPORT (mm/dd/yy) (Name and Complete Address, Including Zip Code) 6. FEDERAL FUNDING PERIOD (mm/dd/yy) CASH RECIPIENT IDENTIFYING NUMBER : 128 10th AVE S.W., 6 FLOOR RECEIPIENT ORGANIZATION OLYMPIA, WA 98504-3105 5. ACCOUNTING BASIS: CERTIFICATION P.O. BOX 43105 REMARKS:

m;

FORM A

(360)-753-5676

TELEPHONE

ADMINISTRATO

WALTER WONG

TYPE OR PRINTED NAME AND TITLE

	FINAL		bits 1 2003					2		~	., ⊻
STATE: WA	Federal Funding Penod: A B		0	۵	w	ır.	G Total Endersk share of	r	Federal share of outlays and	about the second	Balance of Unobiligated Federal funds
2	Net Outlays Previously Total Outlays this Report Reported Period	otal Outlays this Report Period	Program Income Credit	Net outlays this report period (Columns B - C)	Net cuttays To Date (Columns A+D)	Non-Federal share of outlays	180	Federal share of uniquidated obligations	unfiquidated obligations (Columns G+H)	Authorized in State Plan	(Columns J4)
Title Basic Oraci to Stress 2000											
Cocal Uses of Funds							KV (4) 8224	QX	80'091'1723	\$227,460.06	
Reserve Sacondary Fligible Recibients	\$194,833.72	\$32,626.34	5₹	£32,	80.09,722	2	001933913	. ≥	\$1,065,351.00		\$0.00
Postsecondary Eligible Recipients	\$1,055,351.00	œ	d ₹		10 111 015 11	88	\$1,282,811.06	00.08	\$1,282,811.06	\$1,282,511.06	
Total Reserve	\$1,250,144.72	\$32,626.34	\$0.00	197,020,264	1					10 VO 1 COV Q4	005
Other Expenditures				100 616 3000	10 80 (1) SO OL	£218,483,378.00	\$6,064,580.94	QN.	18,064,580.94		0003
Secondary Eligible Recipients	\$225,572,611.00	\$975,347.94	₹		M 221 COS 22-09	00,000,191,722	\$9,498,156.00	\$	13,436,130.U	١	20.00
Postsecondary Eligible Recipients	\$256,630,383.63	\$61,772.37	SŽ.		E401 240 114 64	2465,677,378,00	\$17,562,736.94	80.08	\$17,562,736.54		
	\$482,202,994.63	\$1,037,120,31		\$1,037,120,31		Section Street	618,448,548 PE	90.06	01 B453-0 III		
	£48,459,178.35	1 445 40 (17 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	88				38			NO ON YOUR	000
State Leadership					00 W 0513	d.	\$150,000,00	œ Ž	\$150,000.00		
Nontraditional Training and Employment	\$85,352.62	\$64,647.38	€ .	20,140,000	20171200	Q.	\$221,712.00	≨	00.21,7.222		00'03
State Institutions	\$176,700.59	\$45,011.41	\$ 8		00.764,868,953	\$37,821,086.00	\$1,845,411.00	2 2	\$7,717.123		
Other	\$39,117,429.92	807/90/SPC\$				\$37,821,086.00	\$2.217,123.00	20.00	\$1,108,562.00		
Total State Leadership	£39,379,483,13	2414.491.07		\$414,491.07		8	11, 100,002,00		TO STATE OF THE ST		
State Administration	Carl at the	S. S									
										2401 010 M	80.08
True II - Tech-Prep Education				10 And 200	\$101.919.00	Z-N	\$101,919.00	€ 8	00,878,1018	*	
State Administration	69'809'99\$		ON ON		\$1,936,455.00	€	\$1,936,455.00		D 034.374.00	00.574,000,23	06.08
Local Consortia	\$1,932,805.01			100	007/5780-73	EE	£2,656,574.00				
TOTAL TECHPREP EDUCATION	\$1,009,413.70	00 006 103	800								

OMB NO: 1830-0503□

Enrollment Tables

VOCATIONAL-TECHNICAL EDUCATION BASIC GRANT STUDENT ENFOLMENT REPORT

How 1

			dd	3	AR: 2004-2005	Se State Control of the Control	To Marie A	(and real size	Goeta de	Hash C.	Septiality & Co.	Human L.	i lecti	w Public	Manufact	10 May 10	nombelling &	Clemb 8
		Grand Total												Sec.		Savices	. Nam.	Logistics
		edunt.										2 2 2			N	0.0	4	
				N. C. C. C.	Section 2			2000	D	36.2 18.381			10.27	10,463	906'8	100		
		186 687	Mark 11.544	12,001	317				0.45.6	80778	E (8,763)		10,140	00F 01	100/	DIO CE		
		77.18		CONC.	01000		Ž.		0.725	94	11	Я	2	1		000	1888	-
	UKO					500 8	E R		3	100	THE TOTAL		10 M	12	100	10.704	1166	-
		78 920		2 2 38	17,414	8,675	11,896		ō	10,762	2,131	١	181.6	2,500	717 3	061.0	11,159	7.
		88,968		11,999	18,510	7,897	8,280		ō	8,496	2,139	1	0	0	6	0 %	0	
	L L	o		0	0	0	00 175		s te	19 258	4.290	ľ	22,730	4.892	8,707	19,971	14,370	r
				14.237	35,924	10,5/2	50,170	١	6	077	151	١	610	100	89	697	305	
	ndan or Alaska Native			474	100	970	130		ō	1,212	313		1,849	352	298	1,76/	573	
	acric Harder			2 .	2,851	1/2	1 226	١	0	787	237		973	268	242	1,118	1 367	
	TISOSTIC.			1 915	PT &	1.768	2,287		ō	2,346	378		2,627	293	276	007.41	11,121	9
	Hanshir		-	10.867		12.786	15,009		0	14,443	3,200	١	16,636	3,860	0,457	200	8	
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	Har			25		26	42		o	8	=	١	8 5	200	820	1.084	1,445	-
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	With Disabilities	16.735		2.015	2,993	1,178	2.276		0	1,536	571	1	1,703	1000	1 024	5.221	3,185	2,
Column C	No Disachordanian	48 448		4.513	0.137	4,450	6,030		6	4,903	1,090		6,985	900	1,36,	3 971	163	
Column C	Cal England	279 074	ŀ	670	180	1,257	7007		ō	1,219	1299	١	2072	QN.	dyN	d/N	d/N	
Column C	arte.	d/N		Q/N	Q.	d/N	Q		Ž	Q.X	d/X		200	92	ďΝ	QZ	dix	,
1	Homamakan	O'N		QN	C/N	2	d/N		ª	d/N	N.		918	QN	d/X	d/N	dvX	
1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,	Mariana Darriana	O'N		QV	Q.N	d/N	dix		N.P	d/N	d'A	۱	2005	60	187	629	517	
1,11, 1,12	noted Professor	7117		707	1 490	969	729		6	768	110	١	2000		8 400	1,162	3,575	1
1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,		707 70		10 862	ľ	5.371	499		6	5,619	3,202	١	060'*	7 000	752	3,820	385	
1,2,50, 1,100 1,2,50 1,100 1,2,50 1,100 1,2,50 1,100 1,2,50 1,100 1,2,50 1,100 1,2,50 1,100 1,2,50 1,100 1,2,50 1,100 1,2,50 1,100 1,1		101,10		1 522	e	13,769	5,974		ō	23,933	7 80		5,600	113	623	1.9	53	
1,0,0,0,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1		112,734		207	1	188	82		0	280	:	ſ	260 37	500 44	10.282	5,049	4,613	1,
1,5,5,6 1,5,5 1,	ARCHI	98.6		1000	,	20.231	6,555		0	29,842	7,887		20761	124	158	8	79	
1,525 1		210,012		1056,21		107	121		0	428	108		330	693	023	857	312	
1,500 1,50	Inden of Aaska Nativa	3,345		100	9	7161	341		0	2,536	1,142	l	1,022	455	314	624	208	
1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,	Pacific Islander	16,200		95		1 073	372		0	1,703	997		1,023	513	687	338	214	
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	n-Hispanic	216,01	-	010		1 065	158		•	2,053	235		0,00	44 202	7 100	3.054	3,162	
1,2,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,		15,598		200		31 546	3.878		0	20,473	4,581		87.80	1 120	1 893	707	653	
1,000 1,00	7-Hispanic	128,441		01.0		4817	1.162	0	0	2,651	1,059	۱	20,7	786	3	319	380	
1976 1976	Other	34,416		2,813	1	1000	125	0	0	1,350	159		200	7	1.360	1.094	7E8	
25(1)8 25(1)8 25(1)	s With Disebilities	9.368				800 4	1.083	ō	o	9,581	462		1	6 050	190	1,599	843	
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	ally Disadvantaged	32,038		35	5	0 150	400	0	ō	5.574	3,197		100	806	314	728	286	
1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,	and Enrollees	25,119,		1,126	5	2000	200	0	o	4,750	453		/001	1	•	31	12	
37,500 164 165 165 167 167 167 167 167 167 167 167 167 167	int.	20,677		203	0	2,02	12	0	o	508	9		a co	100	888	732	483	
16.74-29	Homemakers	069		6	5	0000	1	6	0	6,587	251		1,020	250	177	221	144	
	celional Barriers	37,439		185	6	2,920	000	0	0	1,495	75		2			0	0	
	Otish Proficient	16,297		21	ō	288		6	ō	•	•		9		-	o	0	
		ő		F	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	•			0	0	
		0		0	0	0	1	1	0	o	0	o	0	5	1	0	0	
		0		0	0	0	5		0	o	0	0	8	3		o	0	
	The state of the s	0	0	0	0	0	7		c	0	0	0	0	P	5	6	0	
	Lyfan or Aleales Nation	ő	0	6	0	0	5	1	6	0	6	0	•	5	-	0	0	
	Section Manday		6	0	•	0	5	1	6	ō	0	0	0	1		6	0	
	Econolis de la companya de la compan	100	0	0		-	1	1	6	0	0	0	0	5	•	0	0	
		5	0	ē	0	0	1	0	6	0	٥		0	1		0	0	
	- Tiposije	ō	0	0	6	0	1	le	0	6	0	0	5	1	6	0	0	
	James Company	6	0	ō	•	5		6	0	0	۰	0	5	100	0	0	0	
	s With Disabilities	0	0	0			-	o	0	0	0	5	,	0	0	0	0	
	ally Disadvanteged	0	•	٥	1	9 6	0	0	0	0	0	1	,	0	0	0		
	ovel Emoless	o	0	6		1	0	0	0	0	-	5	-	0	0	٥		
	27.67	6		0		-	ō	o	0	0	1		0	0	0	0	500	
	Homemakara	0		0	-	6	0	6	0	5	-		0	Ö	6	5		
0	cational Barriers	0		0	3	6	0	0	0	5	,							
AMORO.	glish Proficient	0		5														
, MOON												. •						
Zypont.	M						•											
ANOW.																		,
													. •					
	CHOARY			,														
											:							
													•					
								•										
	100 St. Contraction of the Contr																	

Row 55 Row 58 VOCATIONAL-TECHNICAL EDUCATION TECH PREP STUDENT ENROLLMENT REPORT STATE: WA

Row 1

	123		100			7,551 4,271	128 92	377 206	597 309	5,796 3,305	7 5	608 669	1,865	d/N	AN GIN	N/P	.356 137	113 0	48	503		- 0	0	9	131	516 0	1 0	0	N.Y.	0	7	3 0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	000	0	0	0	0							
	200		10 P		1						١		2,935																		0	3	0	•	0	P		5 0	0	0	0	o	o	0										236	
N. Duline		667				۱	П		١		١	452	736	7 91	Ž Z	Q'N	68	90	8	486	522	ō	9	o	2 2	200	000		div	0	0	9	0	P	ō	0	٥	0	5			0	0	0	٥	٥		0	5					. :	
Safety 6		9	F1 (1)	458	670	1.137	22	85	2	200	2	92	202	183	d C	OV.	Z Z	26		235	263	0	. 3	0		23	962	5	QIA	0		0	3	0	0	0	٥	0	٥					0	0	0	0	٥	9						
					۱	١	l					2.850	9,405	2,684	Q Z	Q Z	Q 90.	2,120	100	2,556	2,928	5	86		14	258	2,605	6	1	Ž	4	=	2 67	ē	P	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	1					
		83 234		8	1 1	- 1	1		1	ı	- 1		2,194					١		5			0	P	0			0			0	•				900	P	ľ	٥	[P	٥	0				1	P	0						
							١											١	2				9		°	6	76	0		N/P	9	0	9	5		0		,		0	0	0	٥	0					0						
10.00		0.000	400 (400 NO)	1	0 1,136		3,5/2				2		218										0		0 0	0	622	2	6	N ON	0		0	ō		0			6	5	5 6	100	, 0	0	0	0	0		0						
							l													0			0	0	0	0	5	5		N ON		-	o	0	0	0	0	o	0	9	-	96	5		0	0	0	0	0	6					
				10	3 8	6	88	200	2 2	18	961	17	37.4	2 5	1 9	100	٩	135	=	116	853	983	F	-	2	z	92	866		7 07		-	2	-	ō	0	0	0	io	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0					
		2			120	0	561 5.	385	Acc	380	710	17	248	100	128	N/A	Q	540	100	629	77.2	808	7	98	14		732	200	2	7	2	6	0 9	200		0	-	0	•	0	0	0	0	9	5 6	5	100	, 0	0	0					
2005		0.00			180		724 12,	297	920	623	000	122	1,	978 3.	2	Q.X	d Q	930	275	0	-	9	0	6	0	0	0		0	0		0	0	9	8	•	1	5 6	,		0	0	0	0	0	9	0	5 6	1	0					
YEAR: 2004-		100 m		With the Control	56		23 11,	56	51	111	200		76	17 2.	25	d/i	8	2,5	7.5		, ,	120			ē	-	1	129	-	-	N/P	0	0	2	0	0	-	=		5 6	5	0	, 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5				
PROGRAM				A 1800	88	67	23 4,0	86	2	10	18	38	87	74 1,5	76	e/	9.	d/	78	10	217		3 6	1			101	145			MP		0	ŀ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	=	1	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	6				
				100	7	78	10.4	2	9	*			6,-	3,4	1,2,1	2	2	2			ľ														,									5 6			9								
10 Oraș		G) (C)	20.00	105,108	40,528	15.64	98,83	2,068	9,156	5,774	8,20	148	8,546	23,916	11,05	Ϋ́	N/A	ž	3,97,	86	1,241	12,12	107.51				933	195 61	100	24	N. Commercial Commerci	-	-	76	2		_	,	1															-	
		Miles	Female	INMETORS			popel	merican triden or Alaska Netive	stan or Pacific Islander	Black, nor-Hispanie	tapano		Individuals With Disabilities	conomically Disadvantaged	Vorstracitional Envolues	Single Parents	Displaced Homemakere	Other Educational Barriers	Umited Engish Proficient	Value	Femilie	Sander Unkown	100	American Indian or Alaska Native	Islan or Pacific Islander	Stack, non-Hispanic	Habaric	White non-rispanc	Christian	rdwolusis with Lysabanes	Companically Checkens	OVIROTIONAL ETITORIES	Orroga Paraman	Har Educational Bernara	mited Engish Proficert	Unio		arder Unicoan	jejo	merican Indian or Asaka Native	sien or Pacific Islandel	Back, non-Hispanic	lispanic	Vivie, non-Hispario	JAKHOWAY CITIES TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	Advictions with Characteristics	North Arthur Inning	hede Parents	Displaced Homemakers	Yhar Educational Barriers	mited English Proficient	SECONDARY	POSTSECENDARY		

Row 55 Row 56

Accountability Tables

CORE INDICATOR #1: ATTAINMENT OF ACADEMIC SKILLS
VOCATIONAL-TECHNICAL EDUCATION ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT
STATE: WA
PROGRAM YEAR: 2004-2005

A B Level Population	988850738504	D E F	E SPECIO		9
	2000 SQL 2/34 SQL	EMNINATIVE AND	MES GEORG		
	STATISTICS IN				
	THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1				
		II GORIE#INASANTI			TANISTON Ve Act
	Number Of				
	Sindentsinible	Sudens In The Adju	Adjusted Lovel	actual Level UI	
	Nimerator	■ Denominator OSP8	Of Par Ormanice	Performance	L'EUOUII I DE
S CBANG TOTAL	13.250	14,227	93.21%	93.13%	.
GRAND JOIAL	02/0	7 350	Ž		
Maie	60/10	000,1		- 701€ PO +	J.
Female	6,481	5,808		1000	
Gender Unknown 🥂 🧢	0	0		0,000	
American Indian or Alaska Native	279	314		88.85%	
	959	1,032		92.93%	
Riack non-Hispanic	505	566		89.22%	
	1.11	1,232		90.18%	
	10.377	11,062		93.81%	
	0+	21		90.48%	
Unknown/Other	D 1	1 100		84.61%	
/*/ Individuals With Disabilities	951	1,164		77 42%	
336	2,372	3,064		100 00%	
l Val	N/P	N/N		100 00%	
Displaced Homemakers	N/P	JV		100.00%	
Marie Enlocational Barriers	N/P	N/P		80.80%	
Timited English Proffeignt	352	392		03.48%	
Nontraditional Enrollees	1,920	2,054		%67 60	
	869'2	8,234			FORM IV, Page 1
	"DID NOT MEET"				1

OMB NO: 1830-0503

Data are for the 2003-04 School Year.

Additional Information:

CORE INDICATOR #1: ATTAINMENT OF ACADEMIC SKILLS
VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL EDUCATION ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT
STATE: WA
PROGRAM YEAR: 2004-2005

Ģ	((E1)	Adjusted Vs. Actual	• Performance:	Э																	FORM IV, Page 2
L			Actual Level Of Performance		%98'09	63.77%	55,U476	23.20 %	66.93%	%PE 23	%0° CS	65.60%	58.63%	63.91%	62.01%	61.32%	58,13%	62.77%		400:001	
W	ACADEMIC ATTAINMENT POSTSECONDARY		Adjusted Level	9	2	9	7	C O	6	7	ν.			0	4 0	0.0	2 0	4	6		
۵	ADEMIC ALTIAIN	CONTRACTOR	Students (fridher)	37,385	17,272	19,	427	683				7				0,460					
U	AC	COLETT VERAIN	Students in the	23.347	10,511	12,554	282	377	1,792	1,226	1,269	15,704	2,979	1,141	6,892	3,363	130	3,737	1,032	3,354	"TOT MEET"
α.		Population		CBAND TOTAL	Male Comment of the C	Female	Gender Unknown	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black, non-Hispanic	Hispanic	White, non Hispanic	Unknown/Other	Individuals With Disabilities	Economically Disadvantaged	Single Parents	Displaced Homemakers	Other Educational Barriers	Imited English Proficient	Nontraditional Enrollees	HEGHIPHEP
		Level				Ċ	. C	#) G	n F	- 0	n L				Z	٥,	Y	2	<u> </u>		

* "M" = "MET"; "E" = "EXCEEDED"; "D" = "DID NOT MEET

0 1 2 2 4 5 1 6 1 8 1

Additional Information:

Data are from the 2003-04 School Year. Source: DLOA_A34

CORE INDICATOR #1: ATTAINMENT OF ACADEMIC SKILLS
VOCATIONAL-TECHNICAL EDUCATION ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT
STATE: WA

PROGRAM YEAR: 2004-2005

	AKGADIEIMIC ATTAINMIENT ADULT. YOUND Veatti koobelaansaan 1	Number si Stratenti III, tres Adjustesti Levelt Actual Level	<u>0 000%</u>		0														%0000	
A B C	(V. 41) (2)	Leyon Febpulation Febpulation T <u>rondendivendivendivendivendivendivendivend</u>	GRAND TOTAL	Male	Female Female	Gender Unknown	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black non-lispanic	? Hispanic	White, non Hispanic	Unknown/Other	individuals With Disabilities	Leonomically Disadvantaged	Single Parents	See Displaced Homemakers	Other Educational Barners	I Imited English Proficient	Nontraditional Enrollees:	* "M" = "MET";

Additional Information:

254555

CORE INDICATOR #1: ATTAINMENT OF VOCATIONAL SKILLS

VOCATIONAL-TECHNICAL EDUCATION ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT STATE: WA PROGRAM YEAR: 2004-2005

	٥	.	U	۵	ш	Ļ	Ŋ
				SKILLATEVAINI	SKILL ATTENTIONE SECONDARY	(ASI). (ASE))	
			SOFTE WINSWILL	CONFERMANCE MITTER			
	Level	Population	Number Of	Number of			Acjusted/Vs. Actual
			Sucents In the	ंडीएन्ट्राम्ड 🖺 गोर्ड	Adjusted Level	Actual Level Of	Podemone
			Altimeted o r	e Denominator	Of Port Ormande.	Ferrormance	Paris I I I I I I I I I
- T		GRAND TOTAL	13,250	14,227	89.46%	93,13%	
~		Male State S	6,769	7,359		. 91.98%	
ı m		Female	6,481	6,868		94.37%	
4		Gender Unknown	0	0	political age calculations and community and community of	0,00.00	
2	Ú	American Indian or Alaska Native	279	314		92.02%	
9	ן מ	Asian or Pacific Islander	959	1,032		92.93%	
· ^	ů c	Black non-Hispanic	505	566		99.22%	
· α	، د	Hispanic	1,111	1,232		90,10%	
. o)	White, non Hispanic	10,377	11,062		93.01./0	
, <u>e</u>	2 (Unknown/Other	19	12		84.61%	
-	٥,	Individuals With Disabilities	951	1,124		77.40%	
2	4	Economically Disadvantaged	2,372	3,064		100,00%	
3	r.	Single Parents	d/N	D/N			
7		Displaced Homemakers	Z/S	Q/N		100,00%	EL CONTROL DE LA CONTROL D
5		Other Educational Barriers	Z/Z	COC		%08'88	
9		Limited English Proficient	352	392		93,48%	
		Nontraditional Enrollees:	1,920	450,2			
8		1EOH PRIEP	869'/	1.0310		Ĭ	FORM IV, Page 4
1*	= "W"	* "M" = "MET";	T MEET"				
Ľ	A 1.11.4	Information:					

Additional Information: Data are for the 2003-04 School Year.

CORE INDICATOR #1: ATTAINMENT OF VOCATIONAL SKILLS

Vocational-Technical Education Accountability Report State: Wa

PROGRAM YEAR: 2004-2005

E F G	SKILL ANTAINMENT POSTSFCONDARY ((IP2)		Adjusted level Actual tevel or reversion of parormance Performance Renformance	58,50% *** 62,45%." F					08.85% FE 0.70									%00'00L	
D	SKILL ANTAINNE		r the statement the	4	10,511 17,272	19	282 427	377 683	1,792 2,599	1,226 2,152	1,269 2,213	2,979 4,541	6,892 10,784	3,363 5,423			1,032		IV.
O			Streensly					aska Native					iniause		81	meis	lem	Se	
Ω ✓		Level Population		GBAND TOTAL	Male	• Female											1 Elmited English Proficient	Nontraditional Enrollees	TECH PREP

* "M" = "MET"; "E" = "EXCEEDED"; "D" = "DID NOT MEET

Additional Information:

0 0 1 2 5 4 5 5 5 8

Data are for the 2003-04 School Year. Source: DLOA_A34

OMB NO: 1830-0503□

CORE INDICATOR #1: ATTAINMENT OF VOCATIONAL SKILLS
VOCATIONAL-TECHNICAL EDUCATION ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT
STATE: WA
PROGRAM YEAR: 2004-2005

SKIIIL/ANIFAINMEN	T BE	0		8/2000 0 0 0	27 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2					0									0 0
8	Population	GRAND TOTAL FOR THE STATE OF TH	Male	Female	Gender Unknown	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black, non-Hispanic	Hispanic	White, non Hispanic	Jnknown/Other	Individuals With Disabilities	Economically Disadvantaged:	Single Parents	Displaced Homemakers	Other Educational Barriers	limited English Proficient	Nontraditional Enrollees	TECH PREP

OMB NO: 1830-0503

Additional Information:

CORE INDICATOR #2: COMPLETION VOCATIONAL-TECHNICAL EDUCATION ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT STATE: WA PROGRAM YEAR: 2004-2005

						1	
	٥	. a	O	۵	ш	L	Ð
192	۲			MODELLICIA	Welve Work	(1.50)	
			CONTENT VONCIL	(*) [[[] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [ARITHERATIVE Actual
	Level	Populetion		Name of the second		A long lile with	- Traitall Of
			Streents trying	अस्तिमाङ्गामिक	Adjustes Level		- Derformance
			\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\		OFFERENCE	2	
·		GBAND TOTAL	13,250	14,227	93.21%	93,13%	0
٠ ،		Male	692'9	7,359			
4 0		Edmalo	6.481	998'9		94.37%	
· c		Control letters and the second		0		%00'0	
4		Gender Unknown	0.20	314		88.85%	
r V	U	American Indian or Alaska Native	6/2	4 033		92.93%	
9	ŭ	Asian or Pacific Islander	626	260,1		%CC 08	
	J (Black: non-Hispanic	505	9999		700 180/	
. α	ا (د	Hispanic	1,111	1,232		00.00	
. 0))	White non Hispanic	10,377	11,062		701000	
, <u>c</u>	Z	Inknown/Other	19	21		5010% 7. 64.64	
· -	0	Individuals With Disabilities	951	1,124		27 42%	
2	Ą	Economically Disadvantaged	2,372	3,064		100.00%	
. 62	ш	Single Parents	NP				
4		Displaced Homemakers	N/P	O/N		100.00%	T. Comments
. اد		Other Educational Barriers	N/P	1/N		89.80%	
2 4		imited English Proficient	352	392		93,48%	
2 2		Nontraditional Enrollees	1,920	2,054		93,49%	
· ¤			7,698	467,0			FORM IV, Page 7
2	".W*	= "MET";	= "DID NOT MEET"				-
•							•

Additional Information: Data are for the 2003-04 School Year.

CORE INDICATOR #2: COMPLETION VOCATIONAL-TECHNICAL EDUCATION ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT STATE: WA PROGRAM YEAR: 2004-2005

ŋ	XI)) =	Adiisted/s Actual	Level Of	** Performance	Э.																	FORM IV, Page 8	
ш.	(स्वित) ४.५४४		Actual Level Of	4.0		60.86%	c 63.77%	65.04%	55.20%	68.95%	20.97 /0	57.3470	02.32.70	02.00.00	30,03/6	62.01%	61.32%	58,13%	62.77%		100,00%		
Ш	complement poststeendary		Wiffestern evel		58.50%	.2	90	7	33	6	.5	3	7		9:	46		7 9		4 19	200		
	COMPLETION	COTALE ALATON SKANTON COTALE RALANSAMITI			37,385	17,272	19,686	427	683	2,599	2,152				1,946		ç	212	0,469		C	J/N	
C		GORIE ##INVS/ANTE	Munical Of		23,347	10,511	12,554	282	377	1,792	1,226	1,269	15,704	2,979	1,141	6,892	3,363	130	3,737	1,032	3,354	N/P	= "DID NOT MEET"
									aska Native						l i l es	ntaged		S	liers in sign	ent.	*		4 =
			Population		GRAND TOTAL	Male	Famale	Gender I Inknown	American Indian or Alaska Nati	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black non-Hispanic	Liebanic	White non Hispanic	Inknown/Other	balividnals With Disabilities	Economically Disadvantaged	Single Parents	Displaced Homemakers	Other Educational Barriers	Imited English Proficient	Nontraditional Enrollees	ECH PREP	• "M" = "MET": "E" = "EXCEEDED"; "D
. ·.<	4		Tevel .				1 0		יי ל									Ψ				2	200

* "M" = "MET"; "E" = "E Additional Information:

OMB NO: 1830-0503□

CORE INDICATOR #2: COMPLETION

VOCATIONAL-TECHNICAL EDUCATION ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT

STATE: WA

PROGRAM YEAR: 2004-2005

	,		(c	ш	ш	. თ
	A	В)		ANTONIA TIMENTAL	(f)(d)	
					S ILANARY NO		
	Level	Postulation				100	djusted Vs. Actual
			2	Sinding	Adjusted Level. Actual Level Of		Level Of
				्राष्ट्राश्चर्याम् ।	Of Performance		жрепоглансе
-	J	GRAND TOTAL	0	0	%000	0.00%	
0		Male	0	0		9,000	
က		Female	0	0		%00.0	
ব	***	Gender Unknown	0	0		%00.0	
70		American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0		%UU U	
. c		Asian or Pacific Islander	0	0		%00.0	
· /-		Black, non-Hispanic	0	0		%00.0	
00		Hispanic	О	5 6		%,00.0	
0		White, non Hispanic	0			%00.0	
, <u>c</u>	3 1.	Unknown/Other	0			%00 U = 1	
· -		(ndividuals With Disabilities	0	5 6		0.00%	
12		Economically Disadvantaged	0			%00.0	
13		Single Parents	0			%00'0	•
14		Displaced Homemakers	0			%00.0	
15		Other Educational Barriers	0				
16		Limited English Proficient	0			%00'0	
17		Nontraditional Enrollees	0			%00.0	
18	F	Tech Prep	Ō				FORM IV, Page 9
-	N., = .,W., *	= "MET"; "E" = "EXCEEDED"; "D" = "DID N	= "DID NOT MEET"				
	Additiona	Additional Information:					

CORE INDICATOR #2: DIPLOMA/CREDENTIAL VOCATIONAL-TECHNICAL EDUCATION ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT STATE: WA PROGRAM YEAR: 2004-2005

C D	DIPLOMA SECONDARY	CORE #17ASWART	Surbinis In the Surbinis III are Adjusted Level Additional Evelopie.	50 89.46%	7,359	6,868	8/AB 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		505 505 605 605 605 605 605 605 605 605				M/N	N/V	396	4.00.2 A 9.9.4	7,698	= "DID NOT MEET"		
		Levell # Ropulation		GRANDITOTAL	Male	Female	The Gender Unknown				. The state of the		Single around	* Other Foucational Barriers	A Limited English Proficient			* "M" = "MET": "E" = "EXCEEDED"; "D" =	Additional Information:	Data are for the 2003-04 School Year.

CORE INDICATOR #3: PLACEMENT VOCATIONAL-TECHNICAL EDUCATION ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT STATE: WA PROGRAM YEAR: 2004-2005

	O	۵	ш	L	9
		TOTAL BLACEMENT SECONDARY	MESSECONDA!		(3St)
	811				
Level : Population		NUMBER OF			Wellisted Vs. Actual
	emulsingins	444		Actual Level Of Partormance	Eevel Or Performance 2
	Number (Orsal			7.070/	L
GRAND TOTAL	609'9	8,923	72.08%	70,70	
Mala	3,340	4,542		13.54 %	The state of the s
Female	3,269	4,381		7.74.62%	
Gandar Unknown	0	0		0.00%	
	126	199		63.32%	
<u> </u>	459	602		64.74%	A
	247	349		70.77%	
	459	899		68.71%	
	5.215	6,982		74.69%	
	13	16		81.25%	
	354	546		64.84%	
	1.243	1,761		70.58%	
	N/P	N/P		100.00%	
1 Displaced Homemakers	N/P	a/N		100,00%	
	N/P	N/P		%00.07	
S Emited English Proficient	133	130		74.14%	
	3.802	5,155		73,75%	FOOT W Days 11
	"DID NOT MEET"		·		FORM IV, rayer.
xceenen; n =					
Additional Information:					

CORE INDICATOR #3: PLACEMENT VOCATIONAL-TECHNICAL EDUCATION ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT STATE: WA PROGRAM YEAR: 2004-2005

a	O	۵	Ш	ட	9
	200			VERNINGS	(ECA)
		=MENT/Ackano	PLACE MENTYACORDECCO TRAINING SECONDAINS	CONTRACT	1100
	COURTE WAS WAITED	NEVS ANTE CORFERENCES ANTE			
Keyell Populetien	Test Office				Adjusted Vs Actual
	ē	Structure (In 1868)	्रीवृत्तिक्ष्विक्षित्र	Actual Level Of	Section Of Section 1
		Descriptifications		் ொயல் ஈந்தோயில்	- Performance
CBAND TOTAL	3,625	8,923	72.08%	40,63%	
Male	1,729	4,542		38.07%	
S Female	1,896	4,381		43.28%	
Gender Inknown	0	0		9/0000	The state of the s
	53	199		26.63%	
	391	602		55,15%	
	141	349		40.40%	
	197	899		29.49%	
	2 833	6,982		40.58%	
	101	16		62.50%	
	118	546		. 21,61%	
	549	1,761		31.18%	
C Circle Baronic	N/N	N/P		%00°001	
Displaced Homemakers	N/P	N/P		% 100,000%	
elle Telles Barrers	N/P	N/P		39 05%	
	74	190		36,9376	
Nontradillina Enfolleds	463	1,102		42,0170	
A TECHDREP	2,113	5,155		0/00/04	FORM IV. Page 12
"EXCEEDED"; "D"=	"DID NOT MEET"				
Additional Information:					
Data are for the 2003-04 School Year.					
		•			

CORE INDICATOR #3: PLACEMENT VOCATIONAL-TECHNICAL EDUCATION ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT STATE: WA

	١	Ŀ	ľ	7	١
		¢	-	3	ì
		č	-	֡	,
		Č	٦	Ú	í
		•	í	ì	•
		4	ς	Ì	•
		¢	-	:)
_		Š)
[Č	ī	ĺ	ì
		•	•	•	_
		ı	ï	ï	ï
		8	1		2
i		•	Ç	1	Į
_		ı	ı	L	١
-		i	S		
Ļ		•			
-				ŗ	
כ		1			į
•		•	8	3	Ļ
		ı	C	Ì	
		1	C)
			i		١
			2	:	
			Ĺ	J	ĺ
			ſ	٦	۱

	. •	.	C	۵	ш	L	, O
	А	0		A VARMENTANDIO VANIENE A MILLENA NE	NEW WILLIAM	SECONDARY	(8St)
	Level	Populetion		1	s		A TOTOT STEER WAS A SOLUTED IN
			Ð	े अंग्रेस हैं। जिस्		Activalitévéllor	Parolimanes
			Alphoperation of the second	a Himory (201	(0) 2010) malues	SKELIUMINES N	The state of the s
-		GRAND TOTAL	99//		/2.08%	20.41 O	
S		Male	2,328	4,542		A STATE OF THE STA	
က		Eemale Total Programme Transfer Transfe	2,438	4,381		3000	
4		Gender Unknown	0	0 0		48 24 %	
2	Ü	American Indian or Alaska Native	96	661		46 26%	
ပ	ŋ L	Asian or Pacific Islander	328	60/		20 14%	
· /	ų (Black, non-Hispanic	175	349		53.74%	
. «) (Hispanic	329	000		54.43%	
, o) ;	White, non Hispanic	3,800	0,982		. 20:00%	
, 으	3	Unknown/Other	œ	01		51.83%	
	٥.	Individuals With Disabilities	283	1 764		55,14%	
2		Economically Disadvantaged	1/6	d/N			
3	in in	Single Parents	N/N	JO/N		1	
4	5	Displaced Homemakers	d/N	N/P		100.00%	
5		Other Educational Barners	63	190		48.95%	
9		Imitedi English Prolecient	560	1,102		50,82%	0.77
		Nontraditional Entollers	2,761	5,155		53,50%	FORM IV, Page 14
	"M"	- "EXCEEDED"; "D"	= "DID NOT MEET"				

Additional Information:

Data are for the 2003-04 School Year.

CORE INDICATOR #3: PLACEMENT VOCATIONAL-TECHNICAL EDUCATION ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT STATE: WA

PROGRAM YEAR: 2004-2005

	4		C	۵	ш	Ш	ŋ
				TOTAL PLACEMENT POSISECONDARY	- Pestrsifeolille		(8Pt))
		Ad East	ORF BINSWILL	OOLE WASANTE	3		Westingly of Astrolla
	Eevel	L CONTRACTOR	To respond		Astinctive itenteller	April 6 Extell 97	
		and the second s			3.0	Performance	Performance:
_		GRAND TOTAL	28,227	37,385	72.94%	75,50%	E
N		Male	13,157	17,272		76,18%	
က	Δ	Female	14,733	19,686		/4.84%	
4	. C	Gender Unknown ***********************************	337	427	1.00	78.92/6	
22) (American Indian or Alaska Native	457	683		%18:00 	
9) ;	Asian or Pacific Islander	1,974	2,599		70.95%	
	-, (Black non-Hispanic	1,512	2,152		70.25%	
. α	ו מ	Hispanic	1,751	2,213		19,12,0	
0	П	White non Hispanic	18,969	25,197		/3,20 /0	
, C	Ů.	Inknown/Other	3,564	4,541		78.48%	
2 =	0	individuals With Disabilities	1,059	1,946		54.42.0	
- 9	2	Examomically Disadvantaded	7,646	10,784		9/08/04	
4 6	۵	Signal Parents	3,963	5		13,00%	
2 4	T.	Displaced Homemakers	130			01.32.0	
י ע	i d	Other Educational Barriers	4,587	6,429		74 95%	
2 4	À	Tiniladi English Proficient	1,173	1,644		74 650/	
7 2		Nontraditional Enrollaes	4,187	5,609		3/20/1	
<u>.</u> α		TECH PREP	N/P	N/P			FORM IV. Page 15
2	* "W"	- "EXCEEDED": "D" =	"DID NOT MEET"				
	Addition	Additional Information:					
	Data ar	Data are from the 2003-04 School Year. Source: DLOA_A34	JA_A34			· .	
	5						

CORE INDICATOR #3: PLACEMENT VOCATIONAL-TECHNICAL EDUCATION ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT STATE: WA PROGRAM YEAR: 2004-2005

	< 4	a	O	Q	ш	ц	9
			Eley./#IGI	MENT-Advance	BLAGEMENT Advanced Training - POSTSECONDARY	TSECONDARN	/ * (3P1)
				COLDER WAS AND MICHELLAND AND THE			4
	Level	Population					McJustedNs. Actual
			ंत्रामुद्धान्तः (इत्याद्ध		Adjustedileveli	Actual Level Of	6-10-22
						жепогталсе	- Fellolliance
-		GRAND TOTAL	1,024	7 4 7 37,385	55 72.94%	2.74%	
~		Male	505	17,272	72	2.91%	
က	Ω	Female	501	19,686	36	2.54%	
4	C	Gender Unknown	21	45	427	4,92%	
ינ) (American Indian or Alaska Native	. 21	39	683	3.07%	
. «	n I	Acian or Pacific Islander	75	2,599)6(2.89%	
) h		Riack non-Hispanic	54	2,152	32	2.51%	
- α	'n		58	2,213	13	2.62%	
0 0	ni.	White non Hispanic	592	25,197	7	2.35%	
n Ç	O	Thknown/Other	224	4,541		4.93%	
2 +	0	Individuals With Disabilities	63	1,946	91	3.24%	
- 5	2	Economically Disadvantaged	269		34	2,49%	
1 (0)	n.	Single Parents	141	5,423	23	7,000 / 4	
4	Ä	** Displaced Homemakers	က	2	212	2.75%	•
15	H	Other Educational Barriers	1771	0,429	200	2.43%	
16	,	Limited English Proficient	40		1 2	2,89%	
17		Nontraditional Enrollees	162	O	600	100.00%	
8		11EGH PREP	N/P	2			FORM IV, Page 16
 :	= "W" *	"M" = "MET"; "E" = "EXCEEDED"; "D" = "DID N	= "DID NOT MEET"				
	Additio	Additional Information:					

CORE INDICATOR #3: PLACEMENT

VOCATIONAL-TECHNICAL EDUCATION ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT STATE: WA PROGRAM YEAR: 2004-2005

∢	ຜ	O	D	Ш	Ļ	G
		PLACEMEN	PLACEMENT EMPLOYMENT & MILITARY POSTSECONDARY	8.MILITÄRY≗I	POSTSECOND	ARY (3P1)
Lovel	A STATE OF THE STA	Aliana Vskalini	COREHAVSKANII COORE#UASVANTA			Adjusted/Vs. Actual
		Numberou Strettus strates	6	Adjusted Level Actual Level Of	Actual Level Of	Level Of Performance
	GBAND TOTAL	27,203	37,385	72:94%	.72.76%	
	Male	12,655	17,272		73.27%	
d	Female	14,232	19,686		72.30%	
Ċ	Gender Unknown	316	427		74,00%	
Ü	American Indian or Alaska Native	436	683		63.84%	
) h	Asian or Pacific Islander	1,899	2,599		/3.07%	
- C	Black, non-Hispanic	1,458	2,152		57.75%	
ו מ	Hispanic	1,693	2,213		70.507	
Ц	White non Hispanic	18,377	25,197		72.93%	
٥	Unknown/Other	3,340	4,541		0/CC(5/	
0	Individuals With Disabilities	966	1,946		0/0 /10	
1 2	Economically Disadvantaged	7,377	10,784		70.48%	
Δ.	Single Parents	3,822	5,423		8/04/0/	
A	Displaced Homemakers	127	212		68.80%	
E	Other Educational Barriers	4,410	6,429		6,50,50 88 92%	
	Limited English Proficient	1,133	1,644		71.76%	
	Nontraditional Enrollees	4,025	609'9		100.00%	
	TECH PREP	N/N	LIN			FORM IV, Page 18
1 11 11 11 1	""-""-""-""-"EXCEEDED"-"D" = "DID N	= "DID NOT MEET"				

* "M" = "MET"; "E" = "EXCEEDED"; "D" =

Additional Information:

Data are from the 2003-04 School Year. Source: DLOA_A34

CORE INDICATOR #3: PLACEMENT

VOCATIONAL-TECHNICAL EDUCATION ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT

STATE: WA

PROGRAM YEAR: 2004-2005

. •	C	C	۵	Ш	ட	ŋ
¥ i			TOTAL DIACE	TOTAL PLACEMENT FADULT	(3.51)	
		PADE 411/5 ATT	COBE #178S AITE	The second second		
Level	Population Control		Number Of second			Adjusted Vs. Actual
		Strolents in the	Students In The	Adjusted Level.	Actual Level Of	The Level Of
		Numeration	Tablifoliulinatele	Of Performance	e Be	Performance
	CBAND TOTAL	0	0 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	%00 . 0		M
			0		. 0.00%	
	IVIGIG		0		0.00%	
	Female				%00'0	
	- Gender Unknown	5			%000	
	American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0		/000	
	Asian or Pacific Islander	0	0		0,00,0	
	Black, non-Hispanic	0	0		0.00%	
d 1	Hispanic	0	0		0,000	
3	White non Hispanic	0	0		0.00.0	
<u> </u>	Tinknown/Other	0	0		0,00%	
	Individuals With Disabilities	0	0		0.00%	
	February Disabyantaged	0	0		0.00%	
3	Single Parents	0	0		0.00%	
4	Displaced Homemakers	0			%0000	
5	Other Educational Barriers	0			%00'0	
	Imited English Proficient	0		137.00	%00'0	
	Nontraditional Enfollees	0			%00'0	•
8	TECH PREP	0				FORM IV, Page 19
 	* "M" = "MET"; "E" = "EXCEEDED"; "D" = "DID N	= "DID NOT MEET"				
Additi	Additional Information:					160

CORE INDICATOR #3: PLACEMENT VOCATIONAL-TECHNICAL EDUCATION ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT STATE: WA PROGRAM YEAR: 2004-2005

	, <	0	Ċ	۵	Ш	ш	ŋ
	X	٥		SELECT THE STATES	A CASTIENT ENTITION ADMINISTRA	(47x)	
					TO NOTIFICATION IN		
	Level	Population (Population)					Adjusted Vs. Actual
					Section 2	Actual Level Of	
					ofiteirformance: "Penformance	SPerrormance.	
7	3	GBAND TOTAL	0	0	W-450	%00.0	
- c		Male	0	0			
1 W		Female	0	0		* %0010	
4		Gender Unknown	0	0		0:00%	
J.		American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0		0.00%	
9		Asian or Pacific Islander	0	0		0,00%	
\ \ \		Black non-Hispanic	0	0		0.00%	
- α	4 (Hisbanic	0	0		%00.00 0.000	
, σ		White non Hispanic	0	0		%00.0	
, <u>c</u>		Unknown/Other	0			2/0000 10 0000	
· <u>-</u>		Individuals With Disabilities	0			% OO! 0	
· 0		Economically Disadvantaged	0			**%00'0	
13		Single Parents	0			%00'0	
14		Displaced Homemakers 🐃 👙	0			%00'0	
15		Other Educational Barriers	0			%00'0	
9		Limited English Proficient	0			%00'0	
		Nontraditional Enrollees:	0			%00'0	
. 8		HECH PREP	0			·	FORM IV, Page 20
1 *	" = "M" :	* "M" = "MET";	= "DID NOT MEET"				
므	Addition	Additional Information:					

CORE INDICATOR #3: PLACEMENT VOCATIONAL-TECHNICAL EDUCATION ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT STATE: WA PROGRAM YEAR: 2004-2005

PLACE FURTHER PLACE PLACE FURTHER PLACE PL	Populetion [E] GRAND TOTAL	PLACEN	MENT EMPLOY		ቭ <i>ϒ - ለ</i> የወሀይቸ	NEV G
Population Pop	Populetion GRAND.TOTAL	SATT		MENICAMIEUM		(OAII)
Control of the Cont		je je	Offerences	366 P. C.		Acquisted Ws. Actu
Own 0 0 0.00% 0.00% Own 0 0 0.00% ************************************	GRAND TOTAL		Stiffmiksly Tills Politimitations	10 Mg 4.0	Ceitialilleveltott Portornalite	Level Of Performance
In the control of t			0	%,0000	%00'0	
krinown 0 </td <td>Male</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>0.00%</td> <td></td>	Male	0	0		0.00%	
Gender Unknown O	Female	0	0		%0000	
American Indian or Alaska Native 0 0 0.00% Asian or Pacific Islander 0 0 0.00% Black, non-Hispanic 0 0 0.00% Hispanic 0 0 0.00% White, non Hispanic 0 0 0.00% Unknown/Other 0 0 0.00% Individuals With Disabilities 0 0.00% 0.00% Economically Disadvantaged 0 0.00% 0.00% Single Parents 0 0.00% 0.00% Single Parents 0 0.00% 0.00% Other Educational Barriers 0 0.00% 0.00% Uninted English Proficient 0 0 0.00% Inchited English Proficient 0 0 0.00% Nontraditional Enrolless 0 0 0.00% I ECH PREP 0.00% 0 0.00%	Gender Unknown	0	0		/0000	
Asian or Pacific Islander 0 0 0.00% Black, non-Hispanic 0 0 0.00% Hispanic 0 0 0.00% White, non-Hispanic 0 0 0.00% Unknown/Other 0 0 0.00% Individuals With Disabilities 0 0 0.00% Economically Disadvantaged 0 0 0.00% Economically Disadvantaged 0 0 0.00% Single Parents 0 0 0.00% Displaced Homemakers 0 0 0.00% Other Educational Barriers 0 0 0.00% Umited English Proficient 0 0 0.00% Umited English Proficient 0 0 0.00% Nontraditional Enrollees 0 0 0.00% Nontraditional Enrollees 0 0 0.00% Nontraditional Enrollees 0 0 0.00%	American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0		0,000	
Black, non-Hispanic 0 0 0.00% Hispanic 0 0 0.00% White, non Hispanic 0 0 0 0.00% Unknown/Other 0 0 0 0.00% 0.00% Economically Disadvantaged 0 0 0 0.00% 0.00% Single Parents 0 0 0 0.00% 0.00% Single Parents 0 0 0.00% 0.00% Displaced Homemakers 0 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% Other Educational Barriers 0 0 0.00% 0.00% Umited English Proficent 0 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% Imited English Proficent 0 0 0.00% 0.00% Nontraditional Enrollees 0 0 0 0.00% 0.00% TECH PREP 0.00% 0 0 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	Asian or Pacific Islander	0	0		%,000 o	
Hispanic 0 0 0.00% White, non Hispanic 0 0 0.00% Unknown/Other 0 0 0.00% Individuals With Disabilities 0 0 0.00% Economically Disadvantaged 0 0 0.00% Single Parents 0 0 0.00% Displaced Homemakers 0 0 0.00% Displaced Homemakers 0 0.00% 0.00% Limited English Proficient 0 0 0.00% Limited English Proficient 0 0 0.00% Nontraditional Enrolless 0 0 0 Nontraditional Enrolless 0 0 0 TECH PREP FORM IV, Page		0	0		0.00%	
Hispanic 0 0 0.00% With Disabilities 0 0 0 With Disabilities 0 0 0 Ily Disadvantaged 0 0 0 Inits 0 0 0 Inits 0 0 0 Inits Proficient 0 0 0 Ilish Proficient 0 0 0		0	0		%00.0	
With Disabilities 0	White, non Hispanic	0	0		%00.0	
With Disabilities 0	Unknown/Other	0	0 0		% DO 0 . *	
lly Disadvantaged 0	individuals With Disabilities	0			%00 U x	
Inits 0 0 0 0.00% 0 Homemakers 0 0 0.00% <td< td=""><td>Economically Disadvantaged</td><td>0</td><td></td><td></td><td>%000</td><td></td></td<>	Economically Disadvantaged	0			%000	
domemakers 0	Single Parents	0			%,00 O	
ational Barriers 0 0 0.00% jlish Proficient 0 0 0.00% nal Enrollees 0 0 0.00% FORM IV, Page	Displaced Homemakers	0			%,00.0	
jlish Proficient 0 0 0 0 0 and Enrollees 0 0 0 0 FORM IV, Page	Other Educational Barriers	0			%000	2.0
nal Enrollees 0 0 0.00% +	Limited English Proficient	0			%00'0	
FORM IV, Page	Nontraditional Enrollees:	0			%00'0	
	HEGHISHE					FORM IV, Page 22

CORE INDICATOR #3: RETENTION VOCATIONAL-TECHNICAL EDUCATION ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT STATE: WA PROGRAM YEAR: 2004-2005

Control of the cont	A B	S	D E RETIENTION POSTISECONDARY	E OSTISTECOND	F (<u>G</u> 29)/	ට.
Structure Stru	1 Popu		objale ippykštavnik Number of			Adjusted/Vs: Actual
ative 13,105 17,272 75,87% 75,87% 75,87% 75,87% 13,105 17,272 75,87% 75,87% 14,674 427 683 77,016% 17,724 683 77,707% 77,007% 17,724 25,197 77,100% 17,724 25,197 77,100% 17,724 25,197 77,100% 17,724 25,197 77,100% 17,100% 11,100 10,784 64,29 64,29 64,29 77,143% 17,143%		Succession and Succession of the Succession of t	Suceris britio	Appliestablesvelt.	Actual Level Of Pertormance	Level of Performance:
ative 13,105 17,272 74.54% 74.54% 427 79.16% 683 64.71% 77.07% 69.66% 17,24 2.599 77.07% 77.790% 17,24 22,197 77.45% 77.745% 16,932 22,197 77.45% 77.636 10,784 77.45% 77.636 212 63.21% 77.190% 11,82 64.29 77.190% 11,644 44.99 71,82% 11,644 71,93% 11,644 71,99% 11,00:00% 10,000%	S GRAND TOTAL	71/182	37/385	72.23%	75,21%	3
ative 14,674 19,686 F.94.% ative 427 683 64.71% ative 2,5003 2,529 77.07% 1,499 2,152 69.66% 1,724 25,197 77.45% 1,078 1,946 77.45% 1,078 10,784 77.45% 1,182 5,423 6,429 6,321% 1,182 1,644 771.43% M/P N/P 74.86% 1,182 1,644 771.80% 1,182 1,644 771.80% 1,182 1,644 771.80% 1,182 1,644 771.80% 1,182 1,644 771.80% 1,182 1,644 771.80%	Male Assistant	13,105	17,272		0//8/5/	
ative 427 938 427 938 683 64.71% 1,499 2,599 77.07% 69.66% 69.66% 69.66% 77.07% 77.09% 77.14% 77.14% 77.14% 77.14% 77.14% 77.14% 77.14% 77.14% 77.14% 77.14% 77.14% 77.14% 77.14% 77.14% 77.143% 86.429 66.429 77.143% 77.143% 77.143% 77.143% 77.143% 77.143% 86.429 66.429 77.143% 77.143% 77.180% 87.143% 87.60% 87.60% 87.60% 87.60% 87.60% 87.4186% 87.60%	p Female	14,674	19,686		74.54%	
ative 442 683 64.17% 2,003 2,599 77.07% 1,499 2,152 69.66% 1,724 2,152 77.90% 1,649 2,213 77.90% 1,078 1,946 77.45% 1,078 10,784 77.45% 1,182 12 63.21% 1,644 6,429 64.29 1,644 199 5,609 74.86% 100.00%	Gender Unknown	338	427		0/01/0/0	
2,503 2,599 (7.07% 1,499 1,1499 2,152 69.66% (69.66% 1,724 25,197 77.30% 1,078 1,078 1,946 77.45% 55.40% 10,784 77.240% 13,926 5,429 6,429 63.21% 1,644 6,429 6,429 74.199 5,609 100.00% 100.000%		442	683		17.070/	
1,499 2,152 69:00% 1,724 2,213 77:10% 18,932 25,197 775.14% 3,517 4,541 55:40% 1,078 10,784 772.40% 1,182 212 63:21% 1,644 6,429 63:21% N/P N/P N/P N/P 100:00%		2,003	2,599		6,70,77	
1,724 2,213 (75.14%) 18,932 25,197 (75.14%) 3,517 4,541 (777.45%) 1,078 10,784 (63.21%) 1,078 212 (63.21%) 1,182 6,429 (63.21%) 1,182 6,429 (63.21%) 1,182 6,429 (63.21%) 1,182 6,429 (63.21%) 1,182 6,429 (63.21%) 1,182 6,429 (63.21%) 1,182 6,609 (77.43%) 1,00.100%	1	1,499	2,152		92.00%	
25,197		1,724	2,213		76.440/	
3,517 4,541 55.40% 1,078 1,946 55.40% 1,078 1,078 1,0784 770.817% 1,3926 5,423 6.429 4,592 6,429 771.43% 1,644 771.30% 1,644 771.80% 1,00.00%		18,932	25,197		73,14./0	
1,946 53;40% 7,636 10,784 70.81% 3,926 5,423 63.21% 134 212 6,429 4,592 6,429 77,43% 1,182 1,644 77,190% N/P N/P 100:00%		3,517	4,541		0/ 045///	
17,636 10,784 (72,40%) 3,926 5,423		1,078	1,946		50,40.70	
3,926 5,423 77.240.0 134 212 77.143% 4,592 6,429 771.30% 1,182 1,644 771.90% N/P N/P 100.00%		7,636	10,784		7,031.76	
## 134 212 213		3,926	5,423		63.01%	
## 1,592 6,429		134	212		74 449%	
1,182 1,644 74,86% 74,86% 100.00% N/P 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%		4,592	6,429		71 00%	
### 25,609		1,182	1,644		9/06:1/	
"D" = "DID NOT MEET"	Vorteditional English	4,199	5,609		%00'UU	
"D" = "DID NOT MEET"		N/P	N/P		200	FORM IV, Page 23
	٥	NOT MEET"				

OMB NO: 1830-0503□

CORE INDICATOR #3: RETENTION VOCATIONAL-TECHNICAL EDUCATION ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT STATE: WA PROGRAM YEAR: 2004-2005

	. «	œ	O	0	Ш	μ.	В
				RETIEN	REMEMBINON ABOUT	(G X 2))	
	level	Populario de la Carte de Carte	B	GORE#IFAS/ANTE			Adjusted Vs. Actual
				अस्तिकार क्षा अस्तिकार क्षिणा	Adjusted Level	Actual Level Of Bartomande	* Eave Of * Epartormance
-		GBAND/TOTAL	Numerators 0		0.00%	%00.0	W
N		Male	0	0		0,00%	
က		Female	0	0		%00.0	
4		Gender Unknown	0	0	100 m	0.00%	
r.		American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0		0.00 %	
9		Asian or Pacific Islander	O	0		0,00,0	
7	Ψ	Black, non-Hispanic	0	0		%00.0 0 00%	
œ	c	Hispanic	0			%UU U	
0	s =	White, non Hispanic	0			%00 0	
9) -	Unknown/Other	0			000%	
=	٠,	Individuals With Disabilities	0			%00'0	
72		Economically Disadvantaged	0	0			
<u>ت</u> :		Single Parents		0			
4 r		Displaceu nolliellianels	0	0		%00.00	
<u>ن</u> ج		Limited English Proficient	0	0		0.00%	
17		Nontraditional Enrollees	0			0.00%	
18		TECH PREP	0				FORM IV, Page 24
	* "M" *	* "M" = "MET";	= "DID NOT MEET"				
		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					

Additional Information:

CORE INDICATOR #4: PARTICIPATION IN NONTRADITIONAL PROGRAMS VOCATIONAL-TECHNICAL EDUCATION ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT

PROGRAM YEAR: 2004-2005 STATE: WA

. 4	α	C	Q	ш	ш	Ŋ
		撥		HOLENGA	VENGINGS	(//C41)
		NON	NONUTABILITAL PARALLEMENT		פרטיים אוניים פריים פריים בריים פריים פרי	E-Coll
		COLLE #11VS/ATT	CORE #ILAS ATT			
Level	Population	Number of a	Number of			Anjusteo vs. Actual
		American Control	Surfame Ine	Adjusted Level	Actual Level Of	💌 🚣 Level Of 🚅
					* Performance	Performance:
						L
	SHAND TOTAL	31,583	4	37.78%	39.01%	
	Maio	18.366	46,550		39,45%	
	(Vidio	13.217	34.405	i.e.	38.42%	
	reiliale	7.70			0.00%	
	Gender Unknown		1000		700V CV	
C	American Indian or Alaska Native	937	2,205		0/01-31	
n		2.058	5,123		40,17%	
Ш	Asiall Of Facility Islander	000	3 300		41.90%	
	Black, non-Hispanic	1,392	320,0		27 550/	
<u>ر</u>	Histopic	3,584	9,545		0/ 00/ /0	
0		23 574	60,651		38.87%	
2	White, non Hispanic	10,03	100+		34.86%	
	Unknown/Other	85	601			F 18
<u> </u>		3,158	8,853		07.70.00	
Y	Topochivally Bisadvanianad	8,905	23,013		38,70%	
		d/N	N/P		%00.000 	
3	Single Farenis	Q/N	JA/N			
4	Displaced Homemakers:	1//1	I G/IV			
ı,	Other Educational Barriers	Z/S	1/01		%60 Bb	
	· Thinks Frolish Prolision	1,288	3,388		100.00%	
		31,583	31,583		100,00%	
		15.756	40,769		38.05%	
8	TECH PREP	00101				FORM IV, Page 25
	N CIC	"DID NOT MEET"				
	I					

Additional Information: Data are for the 2003-04 School Year.

CORE INDICATOR #4: PARTICIPATION IN NONTRADITIONAL PROGRAMS

VOCATIONAL-TECHNICAL EDUCATION ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT STATE: WA PROGRAM YEAR: 2004-2005

Population Part Circle P	А	O.	D	Ш	Ł	Ð
Population Pop		NONTEA	DITTONAL PARTICIPATIO	ON-POS	TSECONDARY	
CHAND TOTAL Students In the Students for Transcription Students In the Students for Transcription Students In the Students for Transcription Adjusted Level of Transcription		CORE#INVS/AITH	CORE#IIAS/AIRT			A CONTRACTOR OF THE PERSON OF
CRAND TOTAL Control of performance Representation Control of performance Representation CRAND TOTAL 25,149 7,14715 19.29% 25,134% Male 18,385 51,536 70.00% 35.61% Female 6,734 66,179 0.00% 0.00% Gender Unknown 369 1,797 20.53% American Indian or Alaska Native 1,886 8,051 20.53% Asian or Pacific Islander 1,477 5,859 23.43% Black, non-Hispanic 1,477 5,859 25.21% Hispanic 1,778 5,859 20.46% White, non Hispanic 16,173 79,043 20.46% Unknown/Other 1,208 3,815 22,337 16.63% Unknown/Other 1,208 5,41 16.63% Economically Disadvantaged 1,923 12,892 14.52% Single Parents 66 541 112.20% Other Educational Barriers 66 541 17.76% Limide Engl		re Number of			John Hamer	ojjisteo Vs. Aciual
GRAND TOTAL 18.385 117,715 19.29% 21:34% Male 6,734 66,179 10.18% 25:136 25:07% Female 6,734 66,179 0 10.00% American Indian or Alaska Native 369 1,797 20.53% Asian or Pacific Islander 1,477 5,859 20.53% Asian or Pacific Islander 1,477 5,859 25.21% Black, non-Hispanic 1,385 7,018 25.21% Hispanic 1,385 7,018 25.21% White, non Hispanic 16,173 7,018 20.53% White, non Hispanic 1,594 22.21% 24.01% Unknown/Other 1,208 5,223 13.73% Economically Disadvantaged 1,923 22.937 14.92% Single Parents 66 54.1 14.643 12.20% Other Educational Barriers 2,600 14,643 17.76% United English Proficent 26.00 14,643 17.76% United Educational Barriers<				o kevel	Performance	Performance
Male 18,385 51,536 35,67% Female 6,7734 66,179 10.18% Gender Unknown 0 0 0 0.00% American Indian or Alaska Native 369 1,797 20.53% Asian or Pacific Islander 1,477 5,859 23,43% Black, non-Hispanic 1,385 7,018 25,21% Hispanic 1,385 7,043 20.46% White, non Hispanic 16,173 79,043 20.46% Unknown/Other 3,829 5,223 24,01% Unknown/Other 3,815 22,937 24,01% Economically Disadvantaged 3,815 22,937 16,63% Single-Parents 66 541 12,20% Chisplaced Homemakers 66 541 17,76% Other Educational Barriers 2,600 14,643 17,76% Other Educational Enrollees 25,119 117,715 21,34% Nontractitional Enrollees 25,119 117,715 17,76% Nortra	GRAND TOTAL	.25.119	15	S	21.34%	E
Female 6,734 66,179 40.18% Gender Unknown 0 0 0.00% American Indian or Alaska Native 369 1,797 20.53% Asian or Pacific Islander 1,886 8,051 23.43% Black, non-Hispanic 1,477 5,859 22.21% Hispanic 1,385 7,018 22.16% White, non Hispanic 16,173 79,043 20.46% Unknown/Other 3,829 15,947 24,01% Unknown/Other 3,815 22,23 24,01% Economically Disadvantaged 3,815 22,937 16,63% Single Parents 66 541 12,20% Single Parents 66 541 12,20% Other Educational Barriers 2,600 14,643 17,76% United English Proficient 643 3,610 17,78% Nontraditional Enrollees 25,119 117,715 21,34% TECH IPREP 100.00%	Male The Male	18,385	51,536		. 35.67%	
Gender Unknown 0 0 0.00% American Indian or Alaska Native 369 1,797 20.53% Asian or Pacific Islander 1,886 8,051 20.53% Black, non-Hispanic 1,477 5,859 25.21% Hispanic 16,173 79,043 20.46% White, non Hispanic 1,208 5,223 24.01% Unknown/Other 1,208 5,223 15,947 24.01% Unknown/Other 1,923 1,208 5,233 16.63% Economically Disadvantaged 3,815 22,937 16.63% Single Parents 66 541 14,92% Single Parents 643 3,610 14,643 17,76% Other Educational Barriers 2,600 14,643 17,76% Chitted English Proficient 643 3,610 17,715 Nontraditional Enrollees 100,00% Limited 100,00%		6,734	66,179			
American Indian or Alaska Native 369 1,797 20.53% Asian or Pacific Islander 1,886 8,051 23.43% Asian or Pacific Islander 1,477 5,859 25.21% Black, non-Hispanic 1,385 7,018 19.73% Hispanic 16,173 79,043 20.46% White, non Hispanic 1,208 5,223 20.46% Unknown/Other 1,208 5,223 16.63% Individuals With Disabilities 1,923 12,892 16.63% Single Parents 6 541 14.92% Single Parents 6 541 17.76% Other Educational Barriers 2,600 14,643 17.76% United English Proficient 25,119 117,715 21.34% Nontraditional Enrollees 0,000% 100.00%		0	0			
Asian or Pacific Islander 1,886 8,051 23.43% Black, non-Hispanic 1,385 7,018 25.21% Hispanic 16,173 79,043 20.46% White, non Hispanic 16,173 79,043 20.46% Unknown/Other 3,829 15,947 24.01% Unknown/Other 3,815 22,937 24.01% Economically Disadvantaged 3,815 22,937 16,63% Single Parents 66 541 12,892 712,20% Other Educational Barriers 2,600 14,643 717,76% Umited English Proficient 643 3,610 717,81% Imited English Proficient 25,119 117,715 21,34% Nontraditional Enrollees N/P 100,00%		369	1,797		20.53%	
Black, non-Hispanic 1,477 5,859 25.21% Hispanic 1,385 7,018 19.73% White, non Hispanic 16,173 79,043 20.46% Unknown/Other 3,829 15,947 24.01% Unknown/Other 1,208 5,223 24.01% Economically Disadvantaged 3,815 22,937 16.63% Single Parents 66 541 14.92% Displaced Homemakers 66 541 12.20% Other Educational Barriers 2,600 14,643 17.76% Umited English Proficient 643 3,610 17.81% Nontraditional Enrollees 25,119 N/P 100,00%		1,886	8,051		23.43%	
Hispanic 1,385 7,018 19.73% White, non Hispanic 16,173 79,043 20.46% Unknown/Other 1,208 5,223 24,01% Individuals With Disabilities 1,208 5,223 23,13% Economically Disadvantaged 3,815 22,937 16,63% Single Parents 66 541 14,92% Displaced Homemakers 66 541 17,76% Other Educational Barriers 2,600 14,643 17,76% Dimited English Proficient 643 3,610 17,716 Nontraditional Enrollees 25,119 117,715 21,34% TECH PREP N/P N/P 100,00%		1,477	5,859		25.21%	
White, non Hispanic 16,173 79,043 20,46% Unknown/Other 3,829 15,947 24,01% Unknown/Other 1,208 5,223 23,13% Economically Disadvantaged 3,815 22,937 16,63% Single Parents 66 541 14,92% Single Parents 66 541 17,76% Other Educational Barriers 2,600 14,643 17,76% Ulmited English Proficient 643 3,610 17,81% Nontraditional Enrolless 25,119 N/P 117,715 TECH PREP N/P 100,00%		1,385	7,018		19.73%	
Unknown/Other 3,829 15,947 24.01% Unknown/Other 1,208 5,223 23.13% Individuals With Disabilities 3,815 22,937 16.63% Economically Disadvantaged 1,923 12,892 14,92% Single Parents 66 541 17,76% Displaced Homemakers 2,600 14,643 17,76% Other Educational Barriers 643 3,610 17,715 Umited English Proficient 25,119 117,715 17,81% Nontraditional Enrolless 25,119 N/P N/P 100,00%	1	16,173	79,043		20.46%	
Individuals With Disabilities		3,829	15,947		24.01%	
Economically Disadvantaged 3,815 22,937 16.63% Single Parents 66 541 7.32% Displaced Homemakers 2,600 14,643 17.76% Other Educational Barriers 643 3,610 17.81% Umited English Proficient 25,119 117,715 21.34% Nontraditional Enrollees N/P N/P 100:00%		1,208	5,223		23,13%	
Single Parents 1,923 12,892 14,82% Single Parents 66 541 12,20% Displaced Homemakers 2,600 14,643 17,76% Other Educational Barriers 643 3,610 17,81% Limited English Proficient 25,119 117,715 21,34% Nontraditional Enrollees N/P N/P 100,00%		3,815	22,937		16.63%	
Displaced Homemakers 66 541 12.20% Other Educational Barriers 2,600 14,643 17,76% Ulmited English Proficient 643 3,610 17,715 Nontraditional Enrolless 25,119 117,715 21.34% TECH PREP N/P N/P 100,00%		1,923	12,892		14,92%	
Other Educational Barriers 2,600 14,643 17,715 Ulmited English Proficient 643 3,610 17,715 Nontraditional Enrolless 25,119 117,715 100,00% TECH PREP N/P 1,00,00%		99	541		12.20%	
glish Proficient 643 3,610 17,81% nal Enrollees 25,119 117,715 21,34% N/P N/P 100,00%		2,600	14,643		17,76%	
nal Enrollees 25,119 117,715 21,34% 100:00%	imited English Proficient	643	3,610		17.81%	
N/P N/P	Nontraditional Entollees	25,119	117,715		21,34%	
	TEGH PREP	N/P	N/P			ODM IV Dane 26

Additional Information:

0 + 2 + 4 + 4 + 5 + 5

Data are from the 2003-04 School Year. Source: STUDENT A45 where KIND_OF_STUDENT = "W" AND MIS_STAT <> 0

CORE INDICATOR #4: PARTICIPATION IN NONTRADITIONAL PROGRAMS VOCATIONAL-TECHNICAL EDUCATION ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT STATE: WA

PROGRAM YEAR: 2004-2005

	α 	C	Q	Ш	F. G.
			ONTRADITIONAL	NONTRADITIONAL PARTICIPATION - ADULT	(4At) (4At)
¥	Revel Propiliation				
		***	Streetings of the	Adjusted Level Actual	Actual Level Of Par Level Of Performance?
· -	GRAND TOTAL				
7	Male	0	0		0,000%
က	Female Female	0	0		0100%
4	Gender Unknown	0	0		
2	American Indian or Alaska Native	0			0.00%
9	- Asian or Pacific Islander	0	0		0,00.7
	Black, non-Hispanic	0	O	S	0.00 /e
æ	HISpanic Control of the Control of t	0	0	Ó	0.00 %
6	White non Hispanic	0	0		000
10	Unknown/Other	0	0	Ö	0.00%
F	individuals/With Disabilities	0			0.000
12	Economically Disadvantaged	0			(0)(00% 法部分 (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5)
13	Single Parents	0)(0)	9,000,0
14	. Displaced Homemakërs			10	0.009%
15	Olinei Educational Samers		0	00	
16		0	0	00	0.00%
<u> </u>	Nontraditional Enfolleds	0	0	0)(0	0.00% FORM IV, Page 27
	"EXCEEDED"; "D"	= "DID NOT MEET"			
	Additional Information:				

CORE INDICATOR #4: COMPLETION IN NONTRADITIONAL PROGRAMS

VOCATIONAL-TECHNICAL EDUCATION ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT

STATE: WA

PROGRAM YEAR: 2004-2005

GRAND TOTAL Male Gender Unknown Gender Unknown American Indian or Asian or Pacific Isla Black, non-Hispani Hispanic White, non Hispani Unknown/Other Individuals With Dis Economically Disar Single Parents Single Parents Other Educational Other Educational United English Pre TECH PREP		Δ	O	۵	ш	ш	9
CORRETATIVE ATT CORRETATIVE Adjusted Level Of Students Intitio Students Intito Stud	315		NON	TRADITIONAL C	S-MOINELIAMO	ECONDARY	(452)
Students Number Of Adjusted Level Of A			CORE #17VS ATT	CORE#1 AS ATT			
Students Intition Students Intition Students Intition Students Intition Students Intition Students Intito Intition Intition Intito Inti	J		W Number Of	We Number of		10 10 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Adjusted Vs. Actual
2,463 8,385 29,37% 1,749 5,985 29,22% 0 0 0 107 320 33.44% 165 428 33.44% 435 1,566 27,78% 1,701 2,25 28,73% 1,506 27,78% 1,701 3,608 25,76% N/P N/P 1,00,00% N/P 1,00,00% N/P 1,4212 24,89% 4,212 4,212 24,89% 2,714 9,176 29,58%			Sindente India	្សារីក្រោទិញខេត្ត	Adjusteo Lavel	Actual Level Of	Ferformance*
2,463 8,385 29,37% 1,749 5,985 29,22% 0 0 0 0,000% 107 320 0 0,000% 261 793 33,44% 33,44% 165 428 32,91% 38,55% 435 11,238 28,73% 28,73% 9 25 25 28,73% 1,012 3,608 25,76% 28,05% N/P N/P 100,00% 100,00% 1,14 458 100,00% 100,00% 4,212 2,714 9,176 29,58%		THE CHANGE TOTAL				29,31%	0
1,749 5,985 29.22% 0 0 0 0,000% 107 320 33.44% 165 428 32.91% 435 1,566 27.78% 9 25 25 9 25 25,76% 9 25 25,76% 1,012 3,608 25,76% N/P N/P 100,00% N/P 100,00% 100,00% 1,14 4,212 24,89% 4,212 4,212 100,00% 2,714 9,176 29,58%		Male Male	2.463			7, 29,37%	
0 0 0 0:00% 107 320 33.44% 261 793 32.91% 435 1,566 27.78% 9 25 28.79% 9 25 36.00% 1,506 25.76% N/P N/P 100.00% N/P 100.00% 100.00% 1,14 4512 24.89% 4,212 4,212 29.58% 2,714 9,176 29.58%	11	Female Female	1,749	5,985		29,22%	
107 320 33.44% 32.91% 32.91% 32.91% 32.91% 32.91% 32.91% 32.91% 32.91% 32.35		Gandar I liknown	0	0		%0.00%	
261 793 32.91% 435 1,566 27.78% 435 1,566 27.78% 9 25 28.79% 9 1,506 25.76% 1,012 3,608 25.76% N/P N/P 1,0000% N/P 1,0000% 1,0000% N/P 1,0000% 1,0000% 1,14 4,212 4,212 2,714 9,176 29,58%			107	320		33.44%	
165 428 38.55% 435 1,566 27.78% 3,235 11,238 28.79% 9 25 36.00% 1,506 25.76% 1,012 3,608 25.76% N/P N/P 100,00% N/P 1,000,00% 1,000,00% 1,14 4,212 4,212 2,714 9,176 29,58%	44		261	793		32.91%	
435 1,566 27.78% 3,235 11,238 28.79% 9 25 28.79% 1,506 25.76% N/P 1,012 28.05% N/P 1,0000% N/P 1,0000% N/P 1,0000% N/P 1,0000% 1,14 4,212 4,212 4,212 2,714 9,176 2,714 9,176	4.		165	428		38.55%	
3,235 11,238 28.79% 28.79% 36.00% 36.00% 36.00% 25.76% 25.76% 25.76% 26.00% N/P N/P 100.00% 100.00% 114 45.81 45.81 2,714 9,176 29.58%			435	1,566		27.78%	
9 25 388 1,506 25.76% 1,012 3,608 28.05% N/P N/P 100,00% N/P N/P 100,00% N/P 4,212 2,714 4,212 NATHEET 9,176 29,58%			3.235	11,238		28.79%	
388 1,506 25.76% 1,012 3,608 28.05% N/P N/P 100.00% N/P N/P 100.00% 1,14 458 24.89% 1,2714 9,176 29.58%			6	25		36.00%	
1,012 3,608 28,05% N/P			388	1,506		25.76%	
N/P N/P 100,00% 100,00% 100,00% 100,00% 114 458 124,89% 100,00% 100,00% 100,00% 114 4,212 2,714 9,176 29,58% 29,58% 100,00% 10			1,012	3,608		28.05%	
N/P N/P 100.00% N/P 100.00% 114 458 24.89% 4,212 4,212 100.00% 2,714 9,176 29.58%			N/P	N/P		%00'00	
N/P N/P 100,00% 114 458 24,89% 4,212 4,212 100,00% 2,714 9,176 29,58%			N/P	N/P			
4,212 4,212 100:00% 4,212 4,212 100:00% 2,714 9,176 29:58%		Other Educational Barriers	N/P	N/P		100,00%	
4,212 4,212 100,00 a 12		Imited Fnolish Profelent	114	458		24.89%	
# 9,176 2,714 9,176 = 2,350.00		Nontraditional Englass	4,212	4,212		0/00/00	
"DID NOT MEET"		MECH DRIED	2,714	9,176			OC ADAC W MOO
			I_		•		CHIM IV, raye 23

Additional Information:

Data are for the 2003-04 School Year.

CORE INDICATOR #4: COMPLETION IN NONTRADITIONAL PROGRAMS

VGCATIONAL-TECHNICAL EDUCATION ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT

STATE: WA

PROGRAM YEAR: 2004-2005

5. (CGI)		Level of Performance	3											FORM IV, Page 30
F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F	KANDOBESISI - -	Actual Level Of Performance	6 18.85% 31.31% 31.86	11.57%	22.69%	17.85%	27.54%	17.33%	19,96%	15,63%	100:00%	100.00%	18.85%	5.50.50.41
ш	NONTRADITIONAL COMPLETION POSTSECONPART	fre: Adjusted Level or Of Performance	132	11,586	335	1,479	1,118	12,120	1,965 770	5,701	N/P	N/P	18,353	N/P
Q	NONTRADITIONAL COM CORE#1 VS ATRI ROORE #1/AS AURT	to ledinional Stridenticili affi Tolisilinosal	59	1,340	0 75		224			891	N/P	N/P	3,459 1	N/P
O	NO CORE#178	Students In the		7									E	"DID NOT MEET"
В		Population	GRAND TOTAL	Male Female	Gender Unknown	American Indian or Alaska Native Asian or Pacific Islander	Black, non-Hispanic	Hispanic White non Hispanic	Unknown/Other	Individuals With Disabilities	Single Parents	Displaced Homemakers Other Educational Barriers	Limited English Proffcients	Nontraditional Enfolleds
A		Level		2000	4	(O)		ω с	10 co) N	13 0	14 15	16	17

* "M" = "MET"; "E" = "EXCEEDED"; "D" = "DID NOT MEE"

Additional Information:

Data are from the 2003-04 School Year. Source: STUDENT_DEGREE A45 where AWARD_TYPE = "2" or "3"

CORE INDICATOR #4: COMPLETION IN NONTRADITIONAL PROGRAMS

VOCATIONAL-TECHNICAL EDUCATION ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT

STATE: WA

	10
	\approx
	1-200
	\simeq
	٠,٠
	Ť
	Õ
_	ō
ַ	2004
•	
•	YEAR:
•	AH
J	
•	ш
ľ	
2	_
ס	2
•	⋖
	BAN
	4 15
	ن
	O
	ã
	\overline{a}

Population Pop	Population Pop	A B	O .	D .	ш	L	G
Population	Number of Number of Students in the Students		2	<u>ontraditional</u>	LOOMPLETION		
GRAND TOTAL Adjusted Evel Adjusted Level Adjusted Le	Students Adjusted Extraction Colors Co			1.000 (4.00			Insted Vs#Actual
GRAND TOTAL Volume (LIS) Edition in the control of the	Humorato Denomina Petronnance Petronnance Denomina Den			Simplify The	Adjustedillevel		Level Of
GRAND TOTAL 0 0.00% 0.00% Male 0 0 0 0.00% Female 0 0 0 0.00% Gender Unknown 0 0 0 0.00% American Indian or Alaska Native 0 0 0 0.00% American Indian or Alaska Native 0 0 0 0.00% Asian or Pacific Islander 0 0 0 0.00% Black, non-Hispanic 0 0 0 0.00% White, non Hispanic 0 0 0 0.00% White, non Hispanic 0 0 0 0.00% Unknown/Other 0 0 0 0.00% Economically Disadvantaged 0 0 0 0.00% Single-Parents 0 0 0 0 0.00% Single-Parents 0 0 0 0 0 0.00% Single-Parents 0 0 0 0	6	Control of the Contro		Dareminator 3	M/Anomande		Performance
Male 0 0 0.00% Female 0 0 0.00% Gender Unknown 0 0 0.00% American Indian or Alaska Native 0 0 0.00% Asian or Pacific Islander 0 0 0.00% Black, non-Hispanic 0 0 0.00% White, non Hispanic 0 0 0.00% Unknown/Other 0 0 0.00% Individuals With Disabilities 0 0 0.00% Economically Disadvantaged 0 0 0.00% Single Parents 0 0 0.00% Displaced Homemakers 0 0 0.00% Chier Educational Barriers 0 0 0.00% United English Proficient 0 0 0.00% Imited English Proficient 0 0 0.00% Imited English Proficient 0 0 0.00% Individuals Parents 0 0 0.00% Individuals Prof	2,000.0 2,0	GRAND TOTAL	0	0	0.00%	%00'0	W
Female 0 <td>2,000.0 2,0</td> <th>Male</th> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>%00.0</td> <td></td>	2,000.0 2,0	Male	0	0		%00.0	
Gender Unknown 0 0 0 0.00% American Indian or Alaska Native 0 0 0 0.00% Asian or Pacific Islander 0 0 0.00% 0.00% Black, non-Hispanic 0 0 0.00% 0.00% White, non Hispanic 0 0 0.00% 0.00% Unknown/Other 0 0 0.00% 0.00% Individuals With Disabilities 0 0 0.00% Economically Disadvantaged 0 0.00% 0.00% Single Parents 0 0 0.00% Single Parents 0 0 0.00% Childer Educational Barriers 0 0 0.00% United English Proficient 0 0 0.00%	2,000.0 2,0	Female	0	0		* 0100%	
American Indian or Alaska Native 0 <	2,000:0 2,0	Gender Unknown	0	0		7,000	
Asian or Pacific Islander 0 <td>200:0 20</td> <th>American Indian or Alaska Native</th> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>0.00%</td> <td></td>	200:0 20	American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0		0.00%	
Black, non-Hispanic 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Asian or Pacific Islander	0	0		%00.0	
Hispanic 0<	20000 20		0	0		0,00%	
White, non Hispanic 0 0 0.00% Unknown/Other 0 0 0 Individuals With Disabilities 0 0 0 Economically Disadvantaged 0 0 0 Single Parents 0 0 0 Single Parents 0 0 0 Displaced Homemakers 0 0 0 Other Educational Barriers 0 0 0 Limited English Proficient 0 0 0 Nontraditional Enrollees 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Hisbanic	0	0		0.00%	
With Disabilities 0 0 0 0.00% Ily Disadvantaged 0 0 0 0.00% Ints 0 0 0 0.00% Ints 0 0 0.00% 0.00% ational Barriers 0 0 0.00% 0.00% plish Proficient 0 0 0.00% 0.00% nal Enrollees 0 0 0.00% 0.00%	2,00:0 2,00:0 2,00:0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	 -	0	0		0.00%	
With Disabilities 0 0 0 0.00% Ily Disadvantaged 0 0 0.00% 0.00% Ints 0 0 0.00% 0.00% Homemakers: 0 0 0.00% 0.00% ational Barriers: 0 0 0.00% 0.00% pilish Proficient: 0 0 0.00% 0.00% nal Enrollees: 0 0 0.00% 0.00%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Unknown/Other	0	0		0,00%	
Ily Disadvantaged 0 0 0 0.00% Intis 0 0 10.00% 0.00% Homemakers 0 0 0.00% 0.00% ational Barriers 0 0 0.00% 0.00% plish Proficient 0 0.00% 0.00% nal Enrollees 0 0 0.00%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Individuals With Disabilities	0	0		0.0076	
Ints 0 0 1 0.00% Homemakers 0 0 1 0.00% ational Barriers 0 0 0 0.00% plish Proficient 0 0 0.00% nal Enrollees 0 0 0.00%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Fronomically Disadvantaged	0	0		0,000%	
Homemakers 0 0 4 0100% ational Barriers 0 0 7 1 0100% plish Proficient 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Single Parents	0	0		0,000.0	
ational Barriers 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Displaced Homemakers	0	0		0)(00%	
Olish Proficient 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Other Editional Barriers	0	0		0,000%	
1al Enrollees 0 0 0.00%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Imited English Proficient	0	0		0,000 %	
		Nontraditional Findless	0	0		0,000%	
	"TOTAL MEET."		0	0			DM IV Dane 31

Additional Information:

OMB NO: 1830-0503□